



Planning Dept Email <planning@rochesterhills.org>

Re: 1840 Crestline St

1 message

Planning Dept Email <planning@rochesterhills.org>

Mon, Nov 24, 2025 at 8:43 AM

To: elizabeth hurst <ehurst1953@yahoo.com>

Cc: Chris McLeod <mcleodc@rochesterhills.org>, Mary Jo Pachla <pachlam@rochesterhills.org>

Hello Elizabeth -

We use a GIS mapping system that creates mailing labels based on ownership and occupants based on City records. It creates a list of people and businesses who own the surrounding properties (to the request going before the Planning Commission) that have different addresses, and one of those was Verizon Wireless that should have been mailed to an address in Texas. I apologize for the confusion but you can just disregard the notice.

Jennifer

**Planning & Economic
Development**248-656-4660
rochesterhills.org

On Sat, Nov 22, 2025 at 2:15 PM elizabeth hurst <ehurst1953@yahoo.com> wrote:

Why did I receive same notice in envelope addressed to Verizon Wireless?

Elizabeth Hurst

On Friday, November 21, 2025 at 03:29:52 PM EST, Planning Dept Email <planning@rochesterhills.org> wrote:

Hello Elizabeth -

Thank you for your comments, they will be provided to the Planning Commission. This development was previously approved by the City in 2022, however the developer did not proceed with construction before their approval expired. So earlier in 2025 they resubmitted to restart the process. You are welcome to attend the Planning Commission and City Council meetings to provide your comments.

With regard to the legal notice, the arrow is just being used to point out the property involved with the condominium request (which is highlighted).

If you have further questions please let us know.

Jennifer MacDonald
Planning Specialist

innovative *by* nature

Planning & Economic Development

248-656-4660

rochesterhills.org

On Fri, Nov 21, 2025 at 2:30 PM elizabeth hurst <ehurst1953@yahoo.com> wrote:

Received notice of application for one family detached condos at corner of Hamlin & Crestline St. We went through this several years ago and residents on our street and surrounding neighborhood provided numerous reasons why it should not be allowed. Why has the exact same thing come up again???

And what is the red line going through N side of my property with arrow going through property across the street??????

Elizabeth Hurst
Owner, 1840 Crestline St
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify the originator of the message. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender.



Planning Dept Email <planning@rochesterhills.org>

Re: Proposed Camden Crossing Condo Development

1 message

Planning Dept Email <planning@rochesterhills.org>

Wed, Dec 3, 2025 at 8:19 AM

To: "tmbaier@comcast.net" <tmbaier@comcast.net>

Bcc: Chris McLeod <mcleodc@rochesterhills.org>

Hello Thomas -

Thank you for your comments, they will be provided to the Planning Commission.

Jennifer MacDonald
Planning Specialist

innovative by nature

**Planning & Economic
Development**

248-656-4660

rochesterhills.org

On Tue, Dec 2, 2025 at 4:13 PM tmbaier@comcast.net <tmbaier@comcast.net> wrote:

After reviewing the plans posted online, I would like to offer some comments regarding this proposed development. I live in the Sycamores Subdivision which is directly to the of the North of the proposed site.

According to Drawing C-01, the parcel is zoned R-3 with an allowable dwelling unit density of 3.45 units per acre. The density calculation presented on the drawing indicates a dwelling density of 2.67 units per acre. However, this calculation uses the gross area of the entire site of 9.36 acres. The buildable gross area occupied by the dwelling units is 5.5 acres according to my calculations. 25 dwelling units divided by 5.5 acres yields a density of 4.54 units per acre which exceeds the allowable of 3.45. Does not appear to meet code.

My other concern is additional traffic coming through the Sycamores sub due to this development. Due to the traffic signal added at the intersection of Drexelgate and Rochester Road some time ago, the sub has become a shortcut to avoid the intersection of Hamlin and Rochester Roads.

The shortcut is Drexelgate to Parkland to Crestline to Hamlin and the reverse of that. These are residential streets, not intended for random traffic to pass through. Rather, they are intended for the residents of the subdivision to access their homes.

Most are driving above the speed limit and ignore the stop signs. Especially bad during rush hours. It's unsafe for the residents of the sub. I believe the proposed development can only make this situation worse by adding more congestion into the mix.

Thank you for taking the time to read all of this,

12/3/25, 8:52 AM

City of Rochester Hills Mail - Re: Proposed Camden Crossing Condo Development

Thomas Baier
234 Parkland drive.