Neighborhood Planning Area Groups

At the upcoming joint meeting we will group the council members and planning commissioners into groups based on the Neighborhood Planning Areas. We attempted to place council members and planning commissioners in the Neighborhood Planning Areas that correspond with where they live wherever possible. However, some council members and planning commissioners may be placed in Neighborhood Plannings Areas that are close to where they live. We'll have maps of each area and ask the groups to consider how those areas might better serve existing residents and provide for future residents in a balanced way. Additional considerations will be given to businesses and the local workforce.

This memo provides two matrices: the first explores the relationships between community elements needed in the Master Plan, and the second provides guiding thoughts for how the scenarios might apply to each community element within the framework of age-friendly communities and sustainability.

Matrix 1: This matrix illustrates how interrelated the different community elements are with each other. For example, development of housing should also influence and be influenced by transportation, access to commercial goods and services, opportunities for community building, and environmental impacts.

Criteria	Housing	Transportation	Commercial Activities	Community Building	Environmental Impact
Housing	Accessible, adaptable, attainable, and energy/water-efficient homes	Housing integrated with transit and pedestrian paths to reduce dependency on cars	Mixed-use spaces and neighborhood commercial uses within housing areas to reduce travel	Community spaces within housing developments to promote engagement	Sustainable building materials and energy efficiency in housing; clustered development and/or smaller home footprints to preserve open space
Transportation	Housing near transit, safe pathways, mobility options	Accessible, multi-modal, and safe travel options	Connected to local businesses to reduce travel needs	Public spaces integrated with transit hubs to promote social interaction	Green infrastructure (bike lanes, low-emission transit options)
Commercial Activities	Local, age-inclusive services near residential areas	Accessible businesses with public transit, pedestrian-friendly zones	Mixed-use designs that encourage local commerce and reduce commute	Socially engaging businesses like cafes, parks, and community markets	Sustainable practices in commercial buildings and operations

Criteria	Housing	Transportation	Commercial Activities	Community Building	Environmental Impact
Community Building	Gathering spaces integrated into housing developments	Transit hubs as places for social connection	Local businesses as community meeting points	Inclusive spaces that encourage intergenerational interaction	Parks, community gardens, and green spaces accessible for all
Environmental Impact	Green building practices, resource-efficient designs	Low-emission transit, pathways through green areas	Eco-friendly businesses, innovative sustainably designed, and energy-efficient practices	Community programs supporting environmental awareness	Climate resilience, waste reduction, biodiversity conservation

Matrix 2: This matrix considers how the scenarios influence the community elements – as filtered through our lens of age-friendly communities and sustainability.

Criteria	Scenario 3	Scenario 2	Scenario 1
Housing	Proposes a variety of housing types, including attainable, accessible, and adaptable options for all ages and income levels. Considers cluster and/or small footprint site designs, sustainable building practices and renewable energy use.	Proposes some diversity in housing with options for different age groups and incomes. Some sustainable features included.	Limited variety in housing options with minimal attention to accessibility or sustainability.
Transportation	Designs a multimodal transportation network with safe pedestrian pathways, cycling options, public transit access, and age-friendly mobility support. Prioritizes low-emission and environmentally friendly options.	Includes multiple transportation options with some focus on age-friendliness and sustainability.	Limited consideration for different modes of transportation; age-friendliness or sustainability not well integrated.
Commercial Activities	Plans for mixed-use commercial spaces accessible to all ages, offering essential services, social spaces, and environmentally friendly operations. Encourages local businesses and sustainable practices.	Proposes accessible commercial spaces with some variety of services and limited sustainability focus.	Provides minimal accessibility or variety in commercial planning; sustainability not addressed.
Community Inclusivity	Actively addresses the needs of all age groups, considering social spaces, health resources, and	Addresses needs of most age groups with a moderate focus on	Limited focus on inclusivity; only a few considerations for

Criteria	Scenario 3	Scenario 2	Scenario 1
	opportunities for community engagement. Prioritizes designs that reduce isolation and promote community well-being.	inclusivity and health resources.	community engagement or well-being.
Environmental Sustainability	Prioritizes resource-efficient practices, green spaces, waste reduction, and measures for climate resilience throughout the plan.	Considers some sustainable practices, green space, and resource efficiency.	Minimal sustainable practices included, with limited focus on resource efficiency or climate resilience.

Neighborhood Opportunities

Staff has offered a few discussion topics for each neighborhood. The name of the planning neighborhood is a hyperlink to the planning website, where you will find maps and data related to each.

Adams

Group: Weaver (PC), Blair (CC), Mungioli (CC)

Topics for discussion:

- **Potential Development Properties**
- **Pathway Gaps**
- **Transportation Improvements**
- **Existing Development Review**

Stoney Creek

Group: Detloff (PC), Hooper (PC), Walker (CC)

Topics for discussion:

1. **Potential Development Properties**
2. **Pathway Gaps**
3. **Transportation Improvements**
4. **Existing Development Review**

Rochester West

Group: Gallina (PC), Hetrick (PC), Morlan (CC)

Topics for discussion:

1. **Potential Development Properties**
2. **Pathway Gaps**
3. **Transportation Improvements**
4. **Existing Development Review**

Rochester East

Group: Brnabic (PC), Struzik (PC), Deel (CC)

Topics for discussion:

1. **Potential Development Properties**
2. **Pathway Gaps**
3. **Transportation Improvements**
4. **Existing Development Review**

Avondale

Group: Denstaedt (PC), Neubauer (PC/CC), Carlock (CC)

Topics for discussion:

1. **Potential Development Properties**
2. **Pathway Gaps**
3. **Transportation Improvements**
4. **Existing Development Review**