



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 2010-0379      **Version:** 2

**Type:** Project      **Status:** Passed

**File created:** 9/13/2010      **In control:** City Council Regular Meeting

**On agenda:**      **Final action:** 10/18/2010

**Title:** Request for Approval of Conditional Land Use to allow an in-home day care for up to 12 children at 28 Montmorency, on the northwest corner of Montmorency and Rochester Road; Diane Kapanka and Kristen Parker, applicants

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Agenda Summary.pdf, 2. Staff Report 100510.pdf, 3. Map.pdf, 4. Letter Parker 091010.pdf, 5. Minutes PC 100510.pdf, 6. Back up Documents.pdf, 7. Floor Plans.pdf, 8. EIS.pdf, 9. PHN CLU 100510.pdf, 10. Resolution.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/18/2010	2	City Council Regular Meeting	Adopted by Resolution	Pass
10/5/2010	1	Planning Commission	Recommended for Approval	Pass

Request for Approval of Conditional Land Use to allow an in-home day care for up to 12 children at 28 Montmorency, on the northwest corner of Montmorency and Rochester Road; Diane Kapanka and Kristen Parker, applicants

**Resolved,** that the Rochester Hills City Council hereby approves a Conditional Land Use for an in-home day care for up to 12 children at 28 Montmorency, Rochester Hills, Michigan 48307, Parcel No. 15-27-276-025, located west of Rochester Road, south of Hamlin with the following findings:

1. The use is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance in general, and of Section 138-4.300 in particular.
2. The proposed development has been designed to be compatible, harmonious, and appropriate with the existing character of the general vicinity and adjacent uses of land.
3. The proposed development is served adequately by essential public facilities and services, such as highways, streets, police and fire protection, drainage ways, and refuse disposal.
4. The development should not be detrimental, hazardous, or unreasonably disturbing to existing land uses, persons, property or the public welfare.
5. The development does not create additional requirements at public cost for public facilities and services that will be detrimental to the economic welfare of the community.