



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2010-0297 **Version:** 5

Type: Administration **Status:** Passed

File created: 7/14/2010 **In control:** City Council Regular Meeting

On agenda: **Final action:** 6/18/2012

Title: Request for Approval to Extend the Moratorium regarding Medical Marihuana uses for an additional twelve-month period, to June 19, 2013

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Agenda Summary.pdf, 2. Letter Staran 052412.pdf, 3. Memo Anzek 06-01-12.pdf, 4. Minutes PC-CC Joint 052912.pdf, 5. Minutes PC 060512.pdf, 6. 062011 Agenda Summary.pdf, 7. PC memo.pdf, 8. Attorney Letters.pdf, 9. Sample Ordinances.pdf, 10. Minutes PC 060711.pdf, 11. 011011 Agenda Summary.pdf, 12. Minutes PC 110410.pdf, 13. Minutes PC 120710.pdf, 14. Memo Anzek 120310.pdf, 15. Med Mari Resolution PC.pdf, 16. Final Letter Granholm 120710.pdf, 17. 071910 Agenda Summary.pdf, 18. Memo Mayor 071410.pdf, 19. July 2010 Medical Marihuana Article.pdf, 20. 071910 Resolution.pdf, 21. 011011 Resolution.pdf, 22. 062011 Resolution.pdf, 23. Resolution.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
6/18/2012	5	City Council Regular Meeting	Adopted by Resolution	Pass
6/5/2012	4	Planning Commission	Recommended for Approval	Pass
6/20/2011	3	City Council Regular Meeting	Adopted by Resolution	Pass
1/10/2011	2	City Council Regular Meeting	Adopted by Resolution	Pass
7/19/2010	1	City Council Regular Meeting	Adopted by Resolution	Pass

Request for Approval to Extend the Moratorium regarding Medical Marihuana uses for an additional twelve-month period, to June 19, 2013

Resolved, that in the interest of promoting and protecting the health, safety and welfare of the public, and in view of the need for clarification of the Medical Marihuana Act and the resulting need for further study and analysis, the Rochester Hills City Council hereby extends the Moratorium for Medical Marihuana uses for another twelve-month period, to June 19, 2013 with the following findings:

Findings:

1. There is uncertainty with cases currently in court.
2. There is concern about Federal involvement in the enforcement of drug laws.
3. There is great disparity and an untested nature of regulatory ordinances.
4. There is current and on-going discussions in the State legislature and the State Attorney General's Office involving regulations for Medical Marihuana.
5. There is a lack of Best Management Practices for regulating Medical Marihuana uses.