

 **How Single Hauler Be Funded**

Public Act 298 - Millage

- Description
 - Permits cities to levy up to 3 mills tax
 - Primary funding method in area
 - Eg. Troy, Birmingham, Pontiac
 - Approx 60% of local communities
- Pro
 - 🟢 Lowers cost to most residents
 - 🟢 Tax deductible
 - 🟡 Very low cost to collect
 - Spreads cost across all parcels
 - Action by City Council only
 - Non-pays become lien on property
- Con
 - 🚩 Not most equitable
 - Business subsidizes residential
 - Condos/multi family
 - High value homes pay more
 - 🚩 Vote of residents not required
 - Snowbirds pay all year
 - Total cost about same
- Issues
 - Business pays – often not served
 - 🚩 Multi-family pays – service options?

Fee for Service - Traditional

- Description
 - Essentially a "user fee"
 - Ordinance used to limit to one hauler
 - Some examples of this approach in area
 - Bloomfield Township
 - 6% of communities use
- Pro
 - 🟢 Fees match level of service
 - 🟡 All pay same fees
 - Parcel must benefit from the service
 - Vacant lot does not pay
 - Higher value parcels pay same
- Con
 - 🚩 No incentive to reduce/recycle
 - 🚩 Need collection process for no-pays
 - 🚩 Fee collection more costly than millage
 - Charges full cost to residential sector
- Issue
 - 🟡 Possible voluntary – self haul
 - 🟡 Snow birds
 - Businesses/multi-family don't pay
 - Fee variation – see PAYT
 - How should billing be addressed
 - Hauler
 - City Vendor (Water)
 - New Vendor

Fee for Service - Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) ⊕

Fee for Service - Hauler Franchise ⊕