	Rochester Hills Agenda Report File Number: 2007-0298		<b>5</b> Rc v	1000 Rochester Hills Drive Rochester Hills, MI 4830 (248) 656-4660 Home Page: www.rochesterhills.org		
File Number:	2007-0298	File Type: Policy	Status:	To Council Work Session		
Version: 1		Reference:	Controlling Body:	City Council Work Session		
Requester:		Cost:	Introduced:	04/25/2007		
File Name:	Pension Benefit for	City Council Members	Final Action:			
Title:	Discussion of the P	Pension Benefit for City Council Me	mbers			
Notes:						
Code Sections: Indexes:			Agenda Date:			
			Agenda Number:			
Snonooroi			Enactment Date:			
Sponsors:			Enactment Number:			

## History of Legislative File

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
1	City Council Work 05/02/2007 Discussed Session Notes: Mr. Ambrozaitis requested that Council approve a resolution to eliminate the pension b for incoming Council members. He noted that while he had opted out of the plan from to beginning of his term in office he did not wish to penalize his current colleagues. Acknowledging that the amount was not exorbitant over a four-year term, it was his contention that such expenses are unnecessary and can easily be eliminated. He stress that he wanted the opportunity for a "simple up or down vote" on the issue.						
	<b>Ms. Raschke</b> stated that Council's pension represents "a minute part of the City's bud and noted that Mr. Ambrozaitis would be penalized if he accepted the pension becaus self-employed status. <b>PUBLIC COMMENT</b> :						
		Council because it wo that Council sets their the pension were elim further urged Council	uld have neg own compen inated and th to have this is	tated that she had refused t atively impacted her tax ded sation, and suggested that i at compensation were trans ssue examined by the Huma mendation brought forward	uctions. She then t would be more tr ferred into their sa n Resources Tech	indicated ansparent if Iary. She nnical	
	COUNCIL DISCUSSION:						

City Attorney John Staran explained that as State law would prohibit Council from reducing

the compensation of elected officials during their term, such a change could apply to incoming Council members.

**President Rosen**, also noting that the pension money over a four-year term is not a great deal of money, questioned whether residents want their City government run by seven people, in effect, receiving minimum wage. He stressed that the issue is a policy decision.

**Mr. Ambrozaitis** noted that his request to eliminate this benefit was not motivated by his self-employed status, but rather through a desire to save the City money. He stated, "You don't do this job for money." He questioned whether there would be support for a motion to eliminate the pension for incoming Council members.

**Mr. Hooper** cautioned that if all compensation for City Council were eliminated then only wealthy individuals would run for office. He stated that accepting the pension should be a personal decision made by each Council member, and stressed that, although it is referred to as a pension, in fact it more precisely resembles a 401k plan.

**President Rosen**, acknowledging Ms. Hill's suggestion, agreed that the Human Resources Technical Review Committee should have the opportunity to examine this issue and suggested that the matter return to Council at the final Regular meeting of the month.

## Text of Legislative File 2007-0298

..Title Discussion of the Pension Benefit for City Council Members

..Body Discussion only; no resolution.