

Patrice Sinclair <Patrice.Sinclair@raymondjames.com>

Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 4:11
PM

To: "scottl@rochesterhills.org" <scottl@rochesterhills.org>

Cc: Patrice Sinclair <Patrice.Sinclair@raymondjames.com>

Leanne,

Thanks very much for your call this afternoon.

As discussed, the primary reason for me not wanting the house at 2040 listed historically significant at this time is mainly due to the fact that I am in the process of selling it. The property is in need of quite a bit of repair work which, due to my divorce a few years ago, I have been unable to complete. Because of the amount of work needed, it is difficult enough to find the right buyer for this property and the longer it's on the market, the more repairs are needed and the more difficult it is becoming to sell. If I add a historic designation to this downward cycle, I am fearful that will scare away any potential buyers that will not understand how the designation will affect the property and their ability to make the changes they may want to make.

In addition, I do not feel it is my *right* to have the house listed historically when I never plan to live in the house again. That really should be a decision of the next homeowner.

Please understand my position and explain it to the board so they will hold off on making this designation. The house has been here for 180 years and isn't going anywhere. Waiting a little longer to have this discussion with the next property owner is the right thing to do.

Patrice

Patrice L.J. Sinclair, CEP®, CFP®

Senior Vice President - Investments

Investment Management Consultant

Sinclair Wealth Management Group

of Raymond James & Associates