

MINUTES of the **Regular Rochester Hills City Council Meeting** held at 1700 W. Hamlin Road, Rochester Hills, Michigan, on Wednesday, January 29, 2003 at 7:33 PM.

1. CALL TO ORDER

President Dalton called the Regular Rochester Hills City Council Meeting to order at 7:33 PM Michigan Time.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: President John Dalton; Members Bryan Barnett, Jim Duistermars, Lois Golden, Melinda Hill, Barbara Holder

Absent: Member Gerald Robbins

QUORUM PRESENT

Others Present: Pat Somerville, Mayor
Beverly Jasinski, City Clerk
John Staran, City Attorney
Scott Cope, Director, Building Department
Roger Rouse, Director, Department of Public Work
Derek Delacourt, Planner II, Planning Department

President Dalton stated Member Robbins had given prior notice he would be absent and was excused.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (A0001) (Members received a copy of a City Council Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 24, 2003 from Susan Koliba-Galeczka, City Council Liaison).

Resolution A0001-2003-R0019

MOTION by Holder, seconded by Barnett,

Resolved That the Rochester Hills City Council hereby approves the Agenda of the Regular Rochester Hills City Council Meeting of January 29, 2003, as presented.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder

Nays: None

Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

5. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

President Dalton had nothing to report at this time.

6. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Somerville stated HAVEN was accepting used cellular phones for which they would receive Ten (\$10.00) Dollars each for use with their program. She indicated the used cellular phones could be dropped off at the Mayor's Office in City Hall, or at HAVEN's offices in Pontiac, Michigan.

7. COUNCIL COMMENTS

Member Golden stated the Older Persons' Commission (OPC) would be holding a signature fundraising event "soaring into the forties" on Saturday, May 17, 2003 at the Romeo State Airport. She indicated additional information could be obtained by contacting the OPC at 248-608-0269. President Dalton noted many World War II airplanes would be available for viewing.

8. ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Attorney Staran had nothing to report at this time.

9. CONSENT AGENDA (All matters listed under Consent Agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion, without discussion. If any Council Member or Citizen requests discussion of an item, it will be removed from the Consent Agenda for separate discussion.)

Consent Agenda Items **9a**, **9b** and **9c** were approved by a single motion.

9a. Approval of Minutes – Regular Meeting of December 4, 2002. (Members received a copy of the Minutes of a Regular Rochester Hills City Council Meeting held on Wednesday, December 4, 2002).

Resolution A0005-2003-R0020

MOTION by Hill, seconded by Golden,

Resolved That the Minutes of a Regular Rochester Hills City Council Meeting held on Wednesday, December 4, 2002, be approved as presented.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder
Nays: None
Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

9b. Request for Purchase Authorization - BUILDING: Plan Review Services, blanket purchase order not-to-exceed \$45,000.00; Primary Vendor: Code Enforcement Services, Ann Arbor, MI; Secondary Vendor: Code Source P.C., Grandville, MI (A0256)

(Members received a copy of a Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 7, 2003 from Scott Cope, Director, Building Department, with attachments)

Resolution A0256-2003-R0021

MOTION by Hill, seconded by Golden,

Whereas, proposals were solicited for plan review services and two vendors were selected as the most efficient and cost effective, and

Whereas, both vendors will be utilized based on need and availability, and

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Rochester Hills City Council authorizes a blanket purchase order for plan review services to Code Enforcement Services, Inc., of Ann Arbor, Michigan, as primary vendor, and Code Source P.C., of Grandville, Michigan as secondary vendor, in the total not to exceed amount of \$45,000 through December 31, 2003.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder
Nays: None
Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

-
- 9c. **Acceptance of Watermain Easement** - Polker Industries from Steve Stolaruk and Vivian Stolaruk, Parcel No. 15-28-177-030 (A0460) (Members received a copy of a Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 8, 2003, from Josy Foisy, Clerk III, Department of Public Service, with attachments)

Resolution A0460-2003-R0022

MOTION by Hill, seconded by Golden,

Resolved that on behalf of the City of Rochester Hills, the Rochester Hills City Council hereby accepts an easement granted by Steve Stolaruk and Vivian Stolaruk, his wife, of 1940 Oak Point Drive, Rochester Hills, MI 48309, for the construction, operation, maintenance, repair and/or replacement of a watermain on, under, through and across land more particularly described as: Parcel Identification Number 15-28-177-030.

Further Resolved that the City Clerk is directed to record the easement with the Oakland County Register of Deeds.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder
Nays: None
Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

10. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Lee Zendel, 1575 Dutton Road, provided a copy of a spreadsheet he prepared regarding solid waste and landfill figures (a copy of which has been placed on file in the Clerk's Office). He provided a review of how he arrived at his figures based on an estimate of solid waste generated per resident per day, times 365 days per year, times the population of the City, equaling the total pounds of solid waste per year, divided by pounds per cubic yard. He explained any estimate for a single hauler would have to be based on the pounds generated per day per person. He indicated according to Resource Recycling Systems, a solid waste consultant, the average amount generated daily in the State of Michigan was two (2) to three (3) pounds per person. He noted the 1999 Quality of Life Index for the Grand Traverse Region reflected an average of 5.86 pounds of waste per day, with a Grand Traverse County area report reflecting 7.9 pounds per person per day. He stated those figures were two (2) to three (3) times higher than what was included in the RFP prepared by the consultant, which would increase the cost per year to the City. He stated the landfill fees were inaccurately calculated based on the fact he felt waste haulers would bill the City for a full truck, whether or not the truck was actually full.

Robert Sterner, 2800 River Trail, stated he owned a piece of property located on South Boulevard adjacent to M-59. He indicated in the early 1990's he provided an easement to the City for a potential water and sewer project to run under M-59. He noted a prospective purchaser requested the easement be removed. He stated he had requested the City to remove the easement and was told he would have to go to Court. He indicated this did not make sense as he understood the sewer was currently proposed for installation down the street.

President Dalton requested Mr. Sterner provide his telephone number to allow a representative from the City to contact him. Mr. Sterner provided his cell phone number. Member Duistermars requested that Council Members be advised of the resolution of this situation.

11. LEGISLATIVE / ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSE

No legislative/administrative responses were provided.

12. ORDINANCE ADOPTION

12a. Acceptance for Second Reading and Adoption - a proposed Ordinance to Amend Chapter 70, Offenses, Article III, Offenses Against Public Peace, Division 1, generally, of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Rochester Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, to add Section 70-91 prohibiting the resisting or obstructing of law enforcement and to deem such offenses as misdemeanors, and to prescribe a penalty for said violations (A0473) (Members received a copy of a Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 23, 2003, from Susan Koliba-Galeczka, City Council Liaison, with attachments)

Resolution A0473-2003-R0023

MOTION by Barnett, seconded by Duistermars,

Resolved that an Ordinance to amend Chapter 70, Offenses, Article III, Offenses Against Public Peace, Division 1, Generally, of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Rochester Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, to add Section 70-91 prohibiting the resisting or obstructing law enforcement and to deem such offenses as misdemeanors, and to prescribe a penalty for said violations, is hereby accepted for **Second Reading** and **Adoption**, and shall become effective on Friday, February 7, 2003, the day following its publication in the *Rochester-Eccentric Newspaper* on Thursday, February 6, 2003.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder
Nays: None
Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

13. REPORTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

- 13a. Request from Historic Districts Commission** for City Council to Grant Potential Resource Review Rights for eighteen (18) resources - potential historic districts (A0459) (Members received a copy of a Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 23, 2003 from Derek Delacourt, Planner II, Planning Department, with attachments)

President Dalton stated he had been informed the Historic Districts Commission (HDC) had requested this item be tabled and referred back to them for reconsideration.

Member Hill stated she had discussed this matter with Mr. John Dziurman, a member of the Historic Districts Commission (HDC) and the Historic Districts Study Committee (HDSC). She indicated based on the concerns expressed at the January 22, 2003 Work Session, both the HDC and the HDSC expressed a desire to review the consultant's report and the input provided by the homeowners of the properties being studied. She stated the HDC would bring this matter back to Council after it had an opportunity to better determine the feasibility of accomplishing the study, given the time frame allotted.

Member Hill stated the properties listed on the Potential List had been forward to the HDSC and would be studied. She noted Council had not been requested to decide if there should be a study, rather the request was to provide an umbrella of protection for the resources during the study. She agreed the request to table this matter would be appropriate to provide both the HDC and the HDSC an opportunity to review the citizen input and determine what studies could be accomplished in the next year.

Member Duistermars stated he would support postponing this matter to allow further review by the HDC and the HDSC. He indicated he was not in favor of restricting the rights of private property owners, and suggested a condition of the study should be imposed requiring the permission and consent of property owners who desired to participate in historic designation.

Member Golden indicated she would agree with the request of the HDC to postpone this matter, although she felt it would leave the property owners with no clear idea of how Council would resolve the review rights request. She questioned whether the benefits of a Certified Local Government (CLG) could be explained.

Mr. Delacourt stated he had discussed CLG designation with Amy Arnold, the State's Historic Preservation Office Coordinator for Local Governments. He stated Ms. Arnold indicated the City had to submit survey work as part of the application, which is one of the reasons the survey was conducted. He noted the HDC had discussed the CLG Certification and the HDC had determined to go forward with the application.

Member Golden stated she understood a survey had previously been conducted and the CLG Application begun by the prior HDC Staff Liaison Michelle Goldstein. Mr. Delacourt explained only a partially completed CLG Application was available when he began employment with the Planning Department. He stated the State Historic Preservation Office informed him that an Intensive Level Survey would be necessary because the City had not conducted a survey since the original historic designations made in 1978.

Mr. Dziurman stated the CLG designation came from the State Historic Preservation Office, and was available for communities that pass certain requirements. He noted the City had all the requirements in place with the completion of the Survey. He explained the designation would allow the City to request and receive grants from the State. He stated a grant could have paid for a portion of the survey work just completed.

Member Golden stated she thought the Bloomer Stone Shelter should move forward because it was a City-owned structure. She indicated she believed the Museum Staff could provide the necessary documentation to the HDSC to complete their study.

Member Barnett stated he believed a balance would have to be found between preservation and property rights. He suggested the HDC consider a separate study for the income-producing properties because the criteria used by Council to evaluate those properties would be different than residential properties. He questioned whether the studies on the properties would be considered individually or as a group.

Mr. Dziurman explained a study would be conducted for each individual property, and each would have to stand on its own merit. He stated if a portion of the potential resources were found to be eligible for historic designation, Council would have the opportunity to vote on each individual property. He stated he agreed the HDC should discuss studying the income-producing properties separately, as well as reviewing the citizen input.

Member Barnett indicated if the City recognized the importance of preserving heritage, the City needed to provide some incentives for that preservation. He suggested incentives utilized by other Communities be researched, including the benefit for homeowners. He agreed he was concerned about the property rights issues for both residential and income-producing properties. He questioned whether the property owners of the potential resources would be notified of the HDSC Meetings.

Mr. Dziurman stated it was his intent to request the HDC invite the property owners to attend a meeting to discuss their concerns. He noted the property owners could also be provided with additional information regarding historic preservation.

President Dalton questioned whether the HDC would meet with the property owners prior to bringing this matter back to City Council. Mr. Dziurman stated he would make that suggestion to the HDC. President Dalton suggested Council could pass a resolution if that would be helpful.

Member Duistermars indicated he did not want to restrict the rights of any property owner. He suggested part of the criteria used to make a determination for designation include the consent of the property owner, and that the property owner fully understood what historic designation meant.

Member Holder agreed to tabling this matter, noting she felt Council had not been given enough time to make a decision regarding historic designation for any of the potential resources. She indicated the property owners of the potential resources had not asked for historic designation. She noted the property owners had a different value for their property, other than the value of preservation to the Community. She stated if a property owner requested historic designation, she would support that request.

Mayor Somerville questioned how much time the HDC and the HDSC would need to reconsider the review rights request. Mr. Dziurman noted the HDC only met once a month, but he anticipated it would be discussed at the next regular meeting.

Mayor Somerville indicated there was a piece of commercial property that could be redeveloped to bring better economic viability to the City. She pointed out the development of commercial property relieved the tax burden of the residents. She requested the matter be handled as expeditiously as possible, noting she was not in favor of restricting the rights of property owners.

Member Hill explained if Council did not take any action at this Meeting, the studies would continue as specified in the Ordinance, and no property owner would be prevented from performing work on their property. She noted if review rights were granted, any work performed by the property owner of a potential resource would be subject to review by the HDC. She stated the studies would not be conducted in a group, but would be prioritized by the HDSC based on the resources available to conduct the study.

Member Hill stated the consultant's report indicated the size of the existing Historic Districts should be reviewed, which the HDSC would also review for delisting. She explained the delisting process followed the same procedure as designation. She clarified if Council did not take any action at this Meeting, no restriction would be imposed on any property owner. She stated the HDC wanted to work with the property owners before the request is brought back to Council. She suggested the HDC and the HDSC be allowed to review the matter rather than Council passing a resolution requiring a particular action of the HDC.

President Dalton noted it appeared the studies of the eighteen (18) potential resources could take longer than a year. He indicated the Ordinance could be changed, and Council could also direct the HDSC.

Attorney Staran explained Council, as the governing body, ultimately made the decision to designate, undesignate, or modify a Historic District. He noted Council had the authority to give direction to any of the City's Boards and Commissions. He indicated the HDC had requested additional time to review the matter, and he did not feel any Council action was necessary at this time.

Mr. Dziurman noted the Ordinance allowed any resident to come forward and request the HDSC to study a property, and questioned whether Council had the authority to stop a study requested by a resident. Attorney Staran stated Council had the ultimate authority to stop, study, start, enter into contracts, conduct surveys, or cancel surveys.

Member Barnett suggested the HDSC could be provided some type of direction, although he did not feel a resolution was necessary to accomplish that. He stated the HDSC should review the balance of property owners' rights versus preservation; incentives, and keep the property owners informed and involved in the process.

President Dalton noted there were several residents present who wish to speak on this matter.

Robert Jenkins, 3621 Bendelow, stated he would be willing to work with the HDC and be a part of their discussion. He indicated he would appear before Council at such time as this matter is brought back for a decision.

Lyn Sieffert, 942 Little Hill Court, stated she was a member of the HDC, and indicated she felt Rochester Hills was one of the most progressive governments in Oakland County when it came to recognizing local American Heritage. She stated the City was a role model for the conscientious way it handled the City's legacy. She indicated the HDC was very particular in following the rules set forth in the Ordinance and State Guidelines. She noted although historic designation added a step in the permitting process for a building project, historically designated properties were eligible for up to a Thirty (30%) Percent tax credit for restoration and maintenance. She stated the HDC had prepared a Property Owner Guide to provide information to property owners. She noted the HDC had two (2) architects on the Commission, one (1) of whom who was the President of the company that built the World Trade Center; as well as a University Archeologist, providing a high level of expertise to anyone appearing before the HDC.

Cass Jawor, 2600 Club Drive, stated he was the President of Mawor Golf, Inc., owner of Hampton Golf Course. He requested the storage barns located on the golf course property be removed from consideration for historical designation. He stated although the barns were originally part of the Ferry Morse Seed Company, since the golf club took over, the doors and windows had been replaced due to vandalism. He noted many changes had been made to the inside of the barns; new roofs had been added, and the dormers eliminated. He did not believe the barns had any significance, historical appeal or value due to their current condition. He requested they be removed from any consideration.

John Gaber, 1024 Adele Court, stated he represented Mr. Reinhold Mozer and Mr. Fred Ferber who own the property comprising the National Twist Drill site. He noted the property owners

have had no luck leasing the site or developing it for any permitted use under the current zoning classification, with the only viable alternative being redevelopment. He stated if the request for review rights was tabled, the status quo of this particular property would not be maintained given the interest by the HDC for designation, which would prevent possible lessors or purchasers from becoming involved with the site. He felt the Council's lack of action on this matter would leave his clients with vacant buildings, with no ability to rent or redevelop. He indicated any redevelopment would require razing the buildings. He requested the properties be removed from consideration for review rights by the HDC, and removed from study by the HDSC, to allow his clients the ability to move forward with a redevelopment proposal that would increase the tax base of the property.

Jeff Hauswirth, 3901 W. Tienken, stated he specifically purchased property that was not located in a historic district. He indicated as a CPA he understood the benefits provided to certain individuals; however, he did not believe his home would ever qualify for the National Register. He stated he did not want his property limited by historic designation, which he felt would decrease the value of the site. He explained his property was unique because three structures were located on the property; however, only one of those structures (the barn) was being considered for historic designation. He explained the barn was brought to that location at the time the home was built. He stated he had recently applied for a permit to add an addition, which was delayed due to the potential historic district designation. He explained it was only with the Mayor's help that the restriction was relieved. He questioned whether he should split the property to avoid having the other existing structures on the property from being unduly restricted. He requested his property be removed from further study. He stated he felt conducting a study on properties of property owners who did not want to be included in the study was frivolous and a waste of government money. He suggested the City purchase the properties suggested for historic designation.

Robert Sterner, 2800 River Trail, stated he owned vacant property located on Rochester Road, although there is a foundation on the property. He indicated the property is currently zoned residential, although he felt it should be rezoned office. He believed his property should be reviewed as income-producing property, if the properties are split during the study. He stated he did not want his property to be restricted.

Mr. Delacourt stated the study on Ferry Court was currently past the preliminary point; the HDSC would be holding a hearing soon, and this property would be brought back to Council much more quickly than any of the other potential properties.

Member Duistermars stated he would like to see this matter resolved, and he felt participation should be solicited from the property owners. He did not believe those property owners who did not want to be designated a part of a historic district should be considered at all. He questioned whether postponing action on this matter would prevent a property owner from performing any work on their property, or prevent permits from being issued by the Building Department.

Attorney Staran stated there was nothing other than the standard Ordinances and the Building Code that would affect the potential properties.

Member Duistermars noted the studies could be conducted without any review rights being granted, although he felt Council should attach conditions to the studies requiring the permission of the property owner.

(Recess: 8:47 PM to 9:10 PM)

Member Barnett stated it might be beneficial to the HDC, the residents and the Council to suspend discussion this evening, and schedule an open meeting between the HDC, the City Staff and City Council to review the matter in depth and provide more focus and clarity.

President Dalton suggested the HDC review this matter with the residents as well. Member Barnett stated he would encourage the HDC to meet with the residents and the property owners of the eighteen (18) potential properties to receive their feedback prior to a joint meeting with Council.

President Dalton clarified a motion was made by Member Barnett, seconded by Member Golden, to suspend discussion and return this matter to the HDC, requesting the HDC to contact the property owners, and to schedule a joint work session meeting in March, 2003. Mr. Dziurman stated the HDC would discuss this matter at its next meeting and would attempt to meet with the property owners. President Dalton suggested the HDC and the HDSC be included in the joint meeting with Council.

Mr. Delacourt stated the HDSC would be holding a Public Hearing on the study that had been completed and transmitted to the State, per Ordinance requirement. He stated the owners of that property would be allowed to appear before the HDSC before the recommendation would be made to Council. Attorney Staran noted the Public Hearing had to be scheduled within the parameters set forth in the Ordinance.

Member Holder questioned whether a property owner would be prevented from obtaining a permit from the Building Department if the proposed motion was passed. Mr. Delacourt explained that should not happen.

President Dalton commended the HDC for the desire to work through this issue and attempt to avoid an adversarial situation. He requested the HDC contact all residents on the potential list.

Resolution A0459-2003-R0024

MOTION by Barnett, seconded by Golden,

Resolved that the Rochester Hills City Council suspends discussion on the request of the Historic Districts Commission to grant review rights to the Historic Districts Commission for eighteen (18) potential historic districts for a period of one (1) year, and returns this matter to the Historic Districts Commission for further review.

Resolved Further that the Rochester Hills City Council requests the Historic Districts Commission to contact the property owners of the eighteen (18) potential historic districts to discuss the potential designation.

Resolved Further that the Rochester Hills City Council requests the Historic Districts Commission to schedule a Joint Work Session between the City Council, the Historic Districts Commission and the Historic Districts Study Committee in March 2003 for further discussion regarding this matter.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill
Nays: Holder
Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

14. ADMINISTRATION

14a. Adoption of Resolution authorizing the City's participation in the Oakland County 2003 Tri-Party Road Program (for Tienken Road Improvements, Livernois to Rochester Road, Bridge Replacement, and Traffic Signal projects); City's contribution shall equal \$93,414.00 toward the total proposed program allotment of \$280,242.00 (A0474) (Members received a copy of a Regular Meeting Action Summary Sheet dated January 7, 2003 from Kim Murphey, Administrative Coordinator, Department of Public Service, with attachments)

Member Hill stated she had reviewed the resolutions for prior years regarding this Program, and had indicated to Mr. Rousse that a presentation should be made before Council regarding the work proposed for the bridge and the traffic signal.

Member Hill questioned whether tying the funds to a specific Project would result in the funds being lost if the Project did not move forward in 2003. Mr. Rousse stated the Road Commission had requested the funds be designated to a specific Project, while recognizing the fact that a recommendation for a design had not been completed.

Member Hill noted prior Tri-Party Funds had been designated for the Tienken Road Corridor rather than a specific Project. She questioned whether the funds would be lost if a specific Project was not completed. Mr. Rousse stated the City was taking the lead on this Project; however, the Road Commission would monitor the Project very closely.

Member Hill requested a presentation on this Project be scheduled for a future City Council Meeting.

Member Golden requested a breakdown of how the Funds were determined for this Project. Mr. Rousse explained the costs of the Shagbark Bridge Replacement were used as a reference point for this Project. He noted the final design of the Tienken Road Bridge could affect the cost of the Project.

Member Golden stated the Trailways Commission had indicated a desire to donate property to the City for amenities at the Trail intersection on Tienken Road, as well as for right-of-way needs or Trail relocation. Mr. Rouse stated the conceptual design for the Project did not anticipate a need for additional right-of-way at this time.

Resolution A0474-2003-R0025

MOTION by Hill, seconded by Golden,

Whereas, the City of Rochester Hills has previously participated in the Tri-Party Program with the Oakland County Board of Commissioners and the Road Commission for Oakland County for road improvement projects, and

Whereas, the City desires to continue this joint participation for the 2003 fiscal year with the intent to undertake a road improvement project on Tienken Road, Livernois to Rochester Road, and

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the City of Rochester Hills agrees to participate in the proposed fiscal year 2003 Tri-Party program. The City's contribution shall equal \$93,414.00 toward the total proposed program allotment of \$280,242.00.

Ayes: Dalton, Barnett, Duistermars, Golden, Hill, Holder

Nays: None

Absent: Robbins

MOTION CARRIED

15. COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS

Member Barnett stated he had been reelected as the Chairperson of the Community Development and Viability (CDV) Committee. He stated the CDV would be combining some meetings with the Financial Services Committee to discuss road funding issues.

Member Hill noted the CDV Committee had rescheduled its February 27, 2003 meeting due to a conflict with the Mayor's State of the City Address. She explained the CDV Meeting would be held on Thursday, February 20, 2003 at 5:00 PM.

16. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Member Golden noted the State of the County Address was scheduled for February 12, 2003, which conflicted with the Regular Meeting scheduled for City Council.

President Dalton stated the State of the County Address was recorded and televised on the City's Cable Channel.

17. NEXT MEETING DATE - February 5, 2003 - 7:30 PM - Regular Work Session

18. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss before Council, upon motion duly made and seconded, President Dalton adjourned the meeting at 9:30 PM.

JOHN L. DALTON, President
Rochester Hills City Council

JUDY A. BIALK
Administrative Assistant to the City Clerk

BEVERLY A. JASINSKI, Clerk
City of Rochester Hills