ENVIRONMENTAL OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE <u>Tuesday, November 2, 2006</u> (9:00 AM)

Present: Ravi Yalamanchi, City Council

Derek Delacourt, Deputy Director, Planning & Development Department

Paul Davis, City Engineer, Department of Engineering Services

Roger Moore, Professional Surveyor, Department of Engineering Services

Absent: Jim Rosen, City Council President

Jim Duistermars, City Council

Also Present: Tom Wackerman, ASTI

<u>Discussion Regarding Brownfields (in general)</u> (Presented by Tom Wackerman, ASTI)

Cleanup

What does this mean in the State of Michigan? (each State is different)

Protect human health and the environment

State of Michigan is in the forefront

- Wayne County is the most stringent

How "clean" is "clean"? (there is no easy answer)

- nuisance, noise, odor
- look at potential for exposure
- level of acceptable exposure
 - how long
 - what is a person wearing
 - where is it contained
 - various algorithms
 - weight factors
 - for instance, in an office setting
 - inside building
 - wearing clothes
 - there from 8 to 5
 - compared to 90-year at home, working in garden
 - age is a factor
 - time spent outdoors
 - gardening in the soil
 - affect will be different in different situations

- concentration and duration of exposure
- what is the use of the property
- chemicals
 - will it get on skin
 - will it be ingested
 - will it get in the lungs

DEQ has look-up tables

(can be found on DEQ's website)

- tables only manage hazardous substances
- continue to be updated (as new information is developed/received)

USEPA site specific site analysis

There is more arsenic in natural soil than State levels permit

Cleanup

- groundwater
- air
- ambient air
 - dust
- touch (direct contact)
 - protect against

Pathways, chemicals, vectors

Complete Site Assessment

very expensive

Levels of cleanup (varying degrees of each)

- residential
- commercial
- industrial

Should City adopt same philosophy as MDEQ?

- All 50 States differ, but are close, except for California

Engineered controls

- such as 6-inches of concrete on top
 - becomes a barrier
- limited closure

Administrative controls

- contaminated groundwater
 - proposed development may not use groundwater
- institutional controls

PCB's don't volatize

- won't travel through concrete

Benign - will go through concrete

- have to vent

- vent to air

City of Ann Arbor

- requires all cleanup to residential level

PRP - Potential Responsible Party

State of Michigan has a long list of sites to clean up

Many Cities – MDEQ is paid to manage the brownfield process

- if MDEQ says OK – it's OK

How "clean" is "clean"

- City has to define

- what is the acceptable solution

City can "do nothing" with suspected and/or known sites

- can conduct analysis
 - who pays

If cleanup levels too restrictive, properties will be left in their contaminated state

If a developer is willing to do something, site may be in better shape than left alone

Does City want to create an Inventory of sites?

City needs to conduct financial review

- taxes at the end of the process

EPA offers Site Assessment Grants

- Due 12/8/06 for Year 2007
- Sites with a better chance of receiving a grant
 - Landfills
 - Leaking underground storage

EPA

- will pay to assess sites
 - do investigation
 - location
 - problems
 - impediments to development

What is City's ability to identify and assess sites

- If property not owned by the City
- Just conduct assessments of all gas stations and other known sites

No such thing as a "brownfield market"

- brownfield is a "condition" of the site

City could establish a list of sites

EPA's definitions are very broad

- Michigan's are very narrow

Rochester Hills is not a "core" community

City could create a map

- City can rank the sites
 - will this weigh on redevelopment potential

City has to determine:

- Why it would want an inventory
- What will the data do
 - won't spur development
- What lands would be selected
- Is setting one standard reasonable
 - probably no more reasonable than having one zoning standard
- Policies could work in conflict with development

Site assessment might be done through Oakland County

- becomes public information

City should develop a policy

- seek balance and be proactive regarding
 - taxes
 - finances
 - cleanup

Proactive for the City, developers and the Community

City needs to decide if Brownfield Redevelopment Authority will be

- an environmental steward
- an economic developer
- or be passive
 - let other boards and city policies monitor

What is the City and the Community interested in?

EPA study re population living near Superfund Sites

- what was their major complaint
 - answer: truck traffic

BANANA - build absolutely nothing anywhere near anyone

City Council needs to consider policy

- driven by redevelopment
- driven by environmental stewardship

A proactive community benefits both business and the community

- 3-step process
 - Due Diligence
 - Eligibility Review
 - Site Development

Develop policies

- how want it to be done
- know what City wants
 - consider Zoning laws
- lay out
 - everyone knows what City wants
- Brownfields have to be improved
 - i.e. can't just be paved over

Improvement (what is interpretation of "improvement")

- remove 3,000 tons
- remove 15,000 tons
- remove 30,000 tons
 - if require extra clean up
 - where will money come from to pay for this
 - City can provide "gap" with local taxes only
- how far is "too far"
- State will only pay to acceptable risk
- Developer gets TIF first
 - City gets TIF at back end
- Will residential clean up be any safer to human health?
 - what does this accomplish
 - is this the best use of funds
- As costs escalate, effectiveness decreases
 - may never reach the required clean up level
- Will never get land back to "pristine"
 - impossible to reach complete clean up

Develop overall guidelines

- economic development
- environmental stewardship
- building design
- ground water controls
- off-site improvements
 - proximity of site

The longer a site waits, becomes more expensive to clean up

Off-site improvements

- responsible party
- who is impacted

Brownfield Act is an economic incentive act

- not an environmental clean up act

Flexible overlay uses for brownfield sites

- could accomplish what City wants
- shows City is willing to work with developer

Set different clean up levels for different sites

Consider TIF issue

- willingness to reimburse based on requirements for clean up
 - will City cover those extra costs

If cleanup standard is 10% of residential

- is the City applying different standards in different circumstances If require different clean up standards for one area than for another area
- could be challenged
 - can City defend it's requirements
- leaves City open to lawsuit from areas not requiring higher standards
 - claim City not protecting that area as well

If City goes beyond Act 201

- will need to establish one rule
- will need to establish legal requirement for City's requirements

If residential level cleanup is required for landfill sites

- will site just sit
 - won't be cleaned up

Both Act 201 and Act 381 are closely tied to zoning

If City sets high cleanup standards

- how will the City attract development
 - will need incentives
- TIF, grants, local taxes

Determine:

- best and highest use of property
- best environmental stewardship
- best economic incentives
- benefit for future generations

Standards: Residential

Commercial Industrial

and Limited Residential

Limited Commercial Limited Industrial

Cannot clean up property to "pristine" or "pre-industrial"

- some level will remain in all situations

DEQ Standards can change

- based on additional information

Limited closure concept

- barrier
- administrative controls

If don't allow limited closure

- impact on environmental cleanup
- impact on economic incentives

If don't allow engineered or administrative controls

- developers won't like
 - will pay now
 - need TIF program

City will need to develop a "straw" document that can be amended/updated as DEQ standards change

City needs to set standards for the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority and City Council

- nothing currently written
 - would this be for individual sites or all sites in City

Grants for site assessment

- City needs to be proactive

Current City Policy

- work with developer and comply with Act 381 and Act 201
 - need to understand both Act 201 and Act 381
- based on complexity of site
 - developers will use Acts to their advantage
- have policy maximizing environmental standards and economics
 - currently City not being pushed by developers
 - can sometimes "appear" as if this is happening

City is currently not in the business of borrowing monies for cleanup

- developer's responsibility

Initial investigation

- determines if site qualifies as a facility
 - can ask for more information
- Does City want to dictate acceptable level of investigation
 - use of grants or City funds

Does City want to do site assessment?

- currently this is the developer's job

To what extent does the City want to manage the process

- what is the City's current process
- does City want to do more
 - written policy
 - educate to what City is already doing

City Policy could:

- 1. Require compliance with Act 201 and Act 381 and all requirements and regulations of Federal and State environmental laws.
- 2. Reserve right to limit required residential/commercial/industrial standards without engineered and administrative controls.

Preserve open space - is this a matter of

- environmental stewardship
- economic development
 - which is the driving issue

Let developers know what the City wants

- be proactive and write it down

Why not something better?

- answer is it's not easy
- no two sites are alike

If "x" is the benchmark

- how does the City take it to the next level
- how does the City get better than DEQ standards
- how does the City not lose the ability to ask for more
- can the City define each site
- need discretionary controls

Ban the word "better"

Define what the City wants to accomplish

- "raise the bar" (rather than "better")
- is this an unfulfillable objective what is really better
- articulate standards

What is right for the site, and good for the Community?