

~~could be benefits associated with the designation. She noted in the case of the Frank Farm property, the property's representative had time to consider the idea and had completely changed her mind about it.~~

~~Mr. Webster noted that communities in New England had much stricter standards and design guidelines, and designated property owners had many complaints. That was not the case in this City.~~

~~Mr. Dziurman noted the Commission had to follow the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. He stated that when a Commission decision had been appealed to Court, the City won because the Commission was so consistent in its application of the Standards.~~

~~Acting Chairperson Delacourt stated those were all good comments for the joint meeting discussion. He suggested Dr. Stamps might want to discuss the archeological aspects of historic preservation, particularly the fact that history that is not seen can be associated with an area.~~

~~This matter was Discussed~~

- 7B. 2008-0663 National Twist Drill
- 6875 N. Rochester Road
 - 6841 N. Rochester Road
 - Review Preliminary Report

Acting Chairperson Delacourt noted that Preliminary Reports had been prepared for both the National Twist Drill property and 2040 S. Livernois. He asked if the Committee wanted to proceed with the process outlined under the old Ordinance, or whether they wanted to move forward under the recently revised Ordinance. The revised Ordinance required City Council's approval motion prior to beginning a study.

Mr. Dziurman stated that the Twist Drill property was a really important piece of property in the City, and perhaps the City could work with the property owner to find another use. He thought the site would make a great movie studio. He thought City Council should be included as part of the discussion.

Dr. Stamps concurred noting the process began under the old Ordinance and was moving along, but it was a good idea to advise Council the properties were under study and get Council's input.

Acting Chairperson Delacourt stated the five studies underway could be discussed at the joint meeting, including the fact the Committee had been working with the property owners of two of the studies; one study was a proposed delisting, and two studies were just at the Preliminary Report stage. The joint meeting was more of an informal meeting, and Council would not be required to vote on any matter at that

time. The Ordinance process would also be discussed, which would allow both boards to review the process. The Committee agreed a clear idea of how Council wanted the Committee to proceed was necessary.

Acting Chairperson Delacourt summarized the Committee would hold the Preliminary Reports on the National Twist Drill and 2040 S. Livernois until after the joint meeting discussion. No Public Hearings would be scheduled at this point. Both matters would be rescheduled for the April Study Committee Meeting.

Mr. Dziurman suggested a joint meeting should be held with the City's Planning Commission regarding the National Twist Drill property. He asked if there were any other City Boards or Commissions that should be aware of the significance of the site. Dr. Stamps thought there could be some economic benefit from development of the site.

Acting Chairperson Delacourt stated that could be discussed at the joint meeting.

This matter was Discussed

~~TC: 2007-0313 2040 S. Livernois~~

~~- Review Preliminary Report~~

~~Acting Chairperson Delacourt noted that Preliminary Reports had been prepared for both the National Twist Drill property and 2040 S. Livernois. He asked if the Committee wanted to proceed with the process outlined under the old Ordinance, or whether they wanted to move forward under the recently revised Ordinance. The revised Ordinance required City Council's approval motion prior to beginning a study.~~

~~Mr. Dziurman stated that the Twist Drill property was a really important piece of property in the City, and perhaps the City could work with the property owner to find another use. He thought the site would make a great movie studio. He thought City Council should be included as part of the discussion.~~

~~Dr. Stamps concurred noting the process began under the old Ordinance and was moving along, but it was a good idea to advise Council the properties were under study and get Council's input.~~

~~Acting Chairperson Delacourt stated the five studies underway could be discussed at the joint meeting, including the fact the Committee had been working with the property owners of two of the studies; one study was a proposed delisting, and two studies were just at the Preliminary Report stage. The joint meeting was more of an informal meeting, and Council would not be required to vote on any matter at that time. The Ordinance process would also be discussed, which would allow both boards to review the process. The Committee agreed a clear idea of how Council wanted the Committee to proceed was necessary.~~