

NEW BUSINESS

2023-0373

Public Hearing and Request for Conditional Use Recommendation - File No. PCU2023-0007 - to operate a car wash within the CB Community Business District for the proposed construction of a new Clean Express Car Wash located at 10 E. Auburn Rd., on the south side of Auburn Rd., east of Rochester Rd., Parcel No. 15-35-100-003, zoned CB Community Business District with an FB Flex Business Overlay, Clean Express Auto Wash, LLC, c/o Mannik & Smith Group, Inc., Applicant

(Staff Report dated 8/15/23, Reviewed Plans, Applicant's Letter, Development Application, Environmental Impact Statement, Water Resources Commission letter of 12/21/22 and Public Hearing Notice had been placed on file and by reference became a part of the record hereof.)

Present for the applicant were Craig Van Bremen, Express Car Wash Concepts, Kyle Wrentmore, Mannik Smith Group, and counsel for the applicants, John Gaber, Williams, Williams, Rattner and Plunkett PC.

Chairperson Brnabic introduced this item and invited the applicants to introduce themselves. She asked Mr. McLeod to present the staff report.

Mr. McLeod explained that this is a conditional use request along with a request for site plan and tree removal permit approval for the site located on the south side of Auburn just east of Rochester Road, the former Stone Shop. He noted that Community Business is being used as the underlying zoning district, and the FB overlay is not being used for this site. He reviewed the aerial photograph, noting Meijer, and Culver's immediately to the east, the future Bank of America as well as the oil change facility located at the southeast corner of Auburn and Rochester Roads. He mentioned that Commercial Residential Flex 3 is the future land use master plan designation for all of the sites in the surrounding area. He noted that there was a cross connection proposed that is currently in place with Culver's as well as a future cross connection to the oil change facility. He reminded the Commission that this was a discussion that also occurred with Bank of America that ultimately all of these sites would be linked together through cross access. He pointed out that there was a directional entrance to the site, and Traffic has signed off in terms of the overall entrance coming to and from the site via Auburn. There is also a cross connection to Meijer's parking lot to the south of the site that would almost act as an escape lane, and he mentioned that no one will utilize that because at that point they would have already paid to go through the car wash facility.

He pointed out the vacuum locations, noting that the applicant is providing vacuum locations/parking spaces along the east side of the building as a double usage of the parking spaces, and he commented that this is not uncommon for car wash uses. Regarding tree preservation, he pointed out that most of their tree preservation happens along the west side of the site and the south part of the site, in between the two driveways. He noted that Staff originally raised some concerns regarding the potential of cross traffic in this particular location, and he reviewed on-site potential movements. He commented that if the site

becomes heavily trafficked on a Saturday morning or getting ready for a holiday, it could provide conflict. He added that Traffic has signed off, while Planning raised this concern.

He mentioned that the perimeter landscaping requirement has not been met and would require a waiver from the Planning Commission. He noted that they are providing the right-of-way landscaping, and the hedge row as required from the perimeter landscaping, but the additional required trees have not been provided at this point. He stated that the applicant is aware of that and hopefully will address that as a part of their presentation tonight. He explained that there are 23 trees currently on the site, six trees are not regulated due to either being dead or dying, and two regulated trees are proposed to be removed, which are relative to the tree removal permit.

He reviewed the elevations, noting that they are a combination of brick as well as synthetic wood siding on the facade, and a rather slim profile as seen from Auburn Road. The building follows the property and is elongated going deep into the site. He mentioned that Planning Department comments include the need to screen the vacuum units as much as possible. He added that in terms of plan reviews additional fencing might be an option to provide more of a physical barrier to help provide some screening from public view. He reviewed the west and east elevations, noting that there is a mix of glass and brick as well as wood facade.

He reviewed the five standards for consideration for conditional use recommendations.

Mr. Gaber reviewed how they believe that the applicant has met the conditional land use requirements. He thanked Mr. McLeod for working to get them on this agenda and stated that they have some timing constraints. He stated that they believe this proposal promotes the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance as it is both Master Planned and zoned to be commercial in this area, and he noted that the new Community Business zoning allows for car washes at this location. He stated that they believe that the renderings, elevations with the brick and wood facade and windows, and other architectural features are compatible and harmonious with the existing uses and facilities in the area. He noted that there are adequate utilities in the area to service the facility. He mentioned that they provide environmental features that are good for the area and noted that they recycle most of the water used through the wash, use biodegradable soap, and from a stormwater standpoint they pretreat it with a oil/grease separator or interceptor before it goes into the system. He stated that the use is not detrimental to the surrounding area as this area is designed and used for automotive-related businesses with the oil change to the west, the Bank of America's drive-through and Culver's, which has a busy drive-through. He noted that the right-in and right-out only will limit traffic conflicts on Auburn Road, and the driveway location was put as far east on the site as possible to stay away from the Rochester Road intersection and the entrance to the oil change center. He reviewed the cross access with Culver's and Meijer and in the future with the oil change center if that would change or become developed.

He mentioned Mr. McLeod's comment regarding perimeter landscaping and

commented that there really is not any other area on the site where they could provide trees for that purpose, and would be requesting a waiver for nine trees. He stated that they would offer to pay into the tree fund as a condition to approve that benefit.

Mr. Van Bremen queued up a video explaining their company, how they are great neighbors, and partner with local charities for fund raising.

After the video was played, Mr. Van Bremen stated that the video sums up who Express Wash Concepts is, and explained that it was founded in 2009 by John Rauch in Columbus, Ohio. He stated that they expanded to 31 units in Columbus and have continued to grow, and are now in Hampton Roads, Virginia, Cleveland, Ohio, Pittsburgh Pennsylvania, and have now expanded to 90 units. He noted that their expansion has been the result of their site development capabilities and ability to select the right sites and right areas, and their quality operations. He added that they take care of their employees and pay better than average wages and provide career paths. He noted that they are a membership-based business and 70 percent of their customers are members.

He noted that when selecting a site, they look at population, demographics, vehicle counts, and retail traffic. He pointed out that there are 65,000 people within three miles of the site, and 149,000 cars within five miles of the site. He stated that they need about 4,000 members in order for the site to be successful, and mentioned that they impact the community in a great way. He noted that they are environmentally friendly and provide a great service to the community.

Mr. Wrentmore stated that they have gone through a couple of revisions and reviews with staff, and they think they have addressed the majority of the comments to the best of their ability. He noted that the remaining comments will be addressed during their final engineering and final design. He confirmed that the landscaping waiver would be required. He mentioned that they reduced from originally a two-stack lane design to provide more buffer on the west and the east and provide more clearance and additional space for plantings. He added that they are providing a little bit more interior landscaping as well, and worked very closely with the Fire Chief to ensure he was satisfied with ingress and egress lanes. He noted that they are trying to clean up the two or three outstanding items and would continue to work with staff.

Chairperson Brnabic asked what the membership fee would be.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that it is \$34.99 for the top package per month.

Ms. Neubauer noted that they received a couple of emails regarding the history of the site as the former Stone Shop including one from the Tiffany Dziurman, President of the Rochester-Avon Historical Society; and the emails stated that it would be important to the community to have some kind of commemorative item outside of the development noting the history of the Stone Shop. She asked if this would be something they would consider.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that it would be great if they could work with the Historical Society to figure out the best way to represent that.

Ms. Neubauer suggested that the applicant could get in contact with Ms. Dziurman and work on some way to commemorate the property and its history. She asked if the updated photometric plan was submitted to Planning, and noted that there was a denial for three reasons: no updated photometric plan submitted, a modification of the landscaping as noted on the site plan, and a revised landscape cost estimate including the cost of irrigation.

Mr. Wrentmore responded that they can comply with those at final, noting that a revised landscape estimate was included. He noted that the irrigation plan was not finalized because they were going through the landscape waiver discussions with staff. He stated that they will include that plan.

Ms. Neubauer mentioned the screening for the vacuum system and asked if that was what they were asking for the waiver for, or if it was for the other portion of the site.

Mr. Wrentmore responded that it would be for the additional nine trees on the revised landscape plan for the central vacuum unit. He noted that they did add a couple more shorter hedgerows because that was all the room they had to add. He commented that it was discussed between them regarding putting up a screening wall or moving it to the south.

Ms. Neubauer responded that she has difficulty getting past the fact that these items aren't submitted or included with tonight's information. She commented that while they stated that they are under a time constraint, she is not sure without the full information submitted how to proceed. She stated that she was glad they are willing to work with the Historical Society, but she believes that having those gaps filled would be appropriate before the Commission moves on.

Dr. Bowyer stated that she likes the building and the brick. She asked if they will have hand towel drying or if it would be all automated.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that he hoped their dryer would do the job and there wouldn't be a need for hand drying.

Dr. Bowyer noted that hand drying usually slows them up. She thanked the applicants for agreeing to work with the Historical Society and would hope that they would keep a few of those bricks when they take down the building. She commented that she liked the right-in and right-out. She noted that her biggest problem is that she has never seen a car wash where when they are going in they would cross over outgoing traffic and stated that it would conflict immediately with traffic going out. She suggested that they could flip the site plan so that when pulling in on the right they would go right into the stacking lane. She added that the crossing over could be a problem on busy days for the person exiting because he is now blocked by people stacked up to get in. She noted that car washes are busy in the city and especially with the connectivity to Meijer this will be great.

Mr. Wrentmore responded that their first meeting with staff and their pre-planning meeting was 12-15 months ago and they had a couple of iterations that mirrored the site working with Mr. Depp in Traffic, and accommodating the cross-traffic easements and shared access and potential future development. He stated that it was at the request of Traffic to flip the site to this orientation, and they went through five or six iterations even prior to their initial submittal with Mr. McLeod, and Mr. Depp and had several calls with them. He stated that right now from a traffic standpoint this is the safest and most efficient with the additional signage that they are going to have including stop signs on the west and eastbound traffic and then directional signage for the vacuums and queue lanes for the carwash. He noted that Mr. Van Bremen can speak to staffing up on those busy days to provide directions to folks waiting and coming in and out of the vacuum stalls.

Mr. Van Bremen stated that they were trying to accommodate what Traffic was saying and this is why they ended up with this site plan.

Dr. Bowyer asked if Traffic worries about just what is going on on Auburn Road or whether they were worried about traffic going in and out of Meijer's parking lot. She stated that there is no way that it is right to have cross conflicting traffic at the entrance at Auburn Road and it would cause an accident. She stated that she cannot see where that mirrored image would not be better unless Traffic came and spoke on why it would not be.

Mr. McLeod stated that he did not want to speak for Traffic, but he thought that they were realistically looking more so from the standpoint that ideally the driveway alignment should be pushed as far away from Rochester Road as possible. He noted that Traffic generally looks more toward the outward impact of the site versus the interior impact. He stated that generally what they are looking for is how far the driveways can be separated from the existing driveway at the oil shop and Rochester Road, while not pushing it too close to the driveway at the east. He noted that in doing that, there are some potential internal conflicts that have occurred.

Dr. Bowyer stated that she thinks it would be detrimental to their business to continue with this plan.

Mr. Gaber responded that their thought, as they went through and analyzed it, was that this issue could be dealt with using internal signage.

Dr. Bowyer stated that this is not going to work and people are just going to come in and go, unless there is a stoplight.

Mr. Gaber stated that there are stop signs on both the east and west, and the north-south traffic has the right of way. He noted that there is a large stacking lane.

Mr. Wrentmore interjected that it was almost 25 cars.

Mr. Gaber stated that there would be signs on both sides that are very clear to indicate where traffic flow is.

Dr. Bowyer asked what would happen if they had those 25 cars and are stacked up almost onto Auburn Road, and that person would now not get out as the cars would be backed up.

Mr. Gaber stated that one of the features of this site that is beneficial is that there is access all over and also cross access with Meijer. He noted that if someone sees that the site is full, it is their believe that they would drive around to Meijer and come in from the south.

Mr. Wrentmore stated that in some of the iterations with Planning and Traffic, they had at one point eliminated the access to the south for a couple of various reasons, but put it back to provide that relief valve for those busy days and provide an escape lane.

Dr. Bowyer stated that she thinks that while having that connectivity to Meijer is great, and even though they say that there will be stop signs, she feels that it will be congested.

Mr. Wrentmore stated that he would disagree, and noted that they were trying to accommodate what the City was recommending.

Dr. Bowyer stated that she would have to have a strong argument from Traffic, but she would rather see the mirrored image and would totally support the mirrored image going forward. She commented that she could not support this right now unless Traffic could come back and tell her something different. She stressed that they have said no to other drive-throughs because of that exact same thing, and commented that if she is not going to approve that for a drive-through for someone, she is not going to approve it for something like this. She stated that she is looking at the safety of the residents and the conflicting traffic coming through in a very small area.

Mr. Struzik asked how the free vacuums work and whether they would be available to anyone regardless of whether or not they are spending money to get a car wash. He stated that he would concur with concerns raised by Mr. Yazbeck and Ms. Dziurman about the historical nature of the site and would hope that when they do get to the point of approving this they could include a condition possibly to create a historical marker and maintain it. He added that he would hope that they could make available the materials to the Historical Society if they wanted to preserve some of those materials on the site. He noted that the history of the Stone Shop is very interesting.

He explained that he lives 700 feet from Mr. T's Auto Wash and stated that while it is not loud, he hears the drying equipment. He asked if their equipment was any different. He noted that it was indicated that it was all self-contained and insulated, and asked if it was any different than a normal car wash. He pointed out that at this site, the closest house is about 800 feet away on the other side of Rochester Road, and Rochester Road is noisy, so he would be pretty surprised if the closest house could hear the dryer kick on unless it were being run in the middle of the night. He stated that while the current site may have some historical significance, it is an eyesore that is getting worse each

day, things are overgrown, and the building has broken windows. He commented that he likes the idea of redeveloping the site into something different.

He added that he likes the right-in and right-out and thinks it is the best and only choice for the site. He stated that he shared Dr. Bowyer's concern about the potential for conflict and safety concerns for the site, but the major concern he sees is that once the site locks up it will be difficult to convince an otherwise paying customer to leave and go around the other way. He commented that he does not like the idea of creating a potential to reduce Auburn Road to one lane causing backups onto Rochester Road. He concurred with Dr. Bowyer's perspective that flipping the site may make more sense and it may warrant a further discussion with the City's Traffic staff to see why they felt differently. He added that he felt this would become a major entrance and exit for Culver's and will create a potential for lockup within the Culver's site. He commented that he liked the way the building looks and thinks it compliments the other businesses nearby.

Mr. Gallina stated that he was impressed with the company and their organization, and loves the sustainability of it and reuse of water and use of biodegradable soaps. He commented that the design looks great, and mentioned that he has had the opportunity to frequent a number of newer build car washes in Macomb County and they are designed similarly. He stated that the biggest thing for him is the traffic and commented that he agrees with Dr. Bowyer. He asked if there was any way to flip the site and stated it would be optimal. He expressed concern that whether it was flipped or not, it might cause backups that would cross over to Culver's. He asked if someone were coming in the back entrance whether there would be room for the vehicle to merge.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that their sites are always staffed with at least two people, and usually three during busy times. He stated that most of the time it would be okay and they are not running stacks out to the car. He noted that when they are busy staff is supposed to be at the kiosk 100 percent of the time to manage traffic flow.

Mr. Dettloff stated that he thinks it is a good proposed use for the site, but definitely agrees with his colleagues about their concerns the way the plans exist now. He stated that he would support it if these issues were addressed. He asked if they have any other facilities in the metro Detroit area.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that this is one of the first in Metro Detroit, and explained that they are working through 10 sites that are in various phases of approvals in the area. He noted that they invest heavily in the markets they are going into and want to be a permanent fixture for that unlimited pass they have to allow customers to use it all around their metro area.

Mr. Dettloff asked if it would be similar to their competitor just north on Rochester Road in that it would be a tiered membership where different packages will cost different amounts.

Mr. Van Bremen confirmed it would, and then noted that they could always be a

retail customer instead and buy one wash as well.

Mr. Dettloff asked how many kiosks would be on site and if it would be full service.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that there would be two kiosks and is not full service. He noted that there is an RFID reader and if they are a member the gate automatically pops up, and that is how they can process so many cars so quickly.

Mr. Dettloff stated that he cannot tell how many people he sees using the competitor's vacuums and then pulling back out and he commented that he could not see a way to prevent that. He asked if they had an option on the parcel or owned it, and how many jobs they would create.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that they will have an interest in the property. With respect to jobs, he stated that this particular site will have probably between eight and 12 employees and salaries range from an assistant store manager making around \$45,000 and tier up from there. He stated that the site manager will probably make between \$70,000 to \$75,000. He noted that there would be a lot of hourly staff to support them. He mentioned that as they start to build more units in the market, they will have an area manager on top, running three or four stores each.

Mr. Dettloff thanked the applicants for having an interest in Rochester Hills. He stated that he knows Ms. Dziurman well and she is great to work with, and he is happy to hear that they will consider doing something from a historical standpoint.

Mr. Van Bremen confirmed that they will try to figure out something creative beyond just signage, and might be able to integrate it as a part of their building.

Mr. Weaver stated that he agrees that it is a great location and is adjacent to another auto-only business, and it is great to hear that they are shaking up the playing field. He stated that he was curious about a price point because he knows the car wash about 300 yards away is \$10 a wash for the low one. He concurred with Dr. Bowyer about crossing over and while he understands that they will have internal signage, if it becomes complicated, their competitor is 300 yards up the road with a very easy in and easy out. He pointed out that Jax does have two stacking lanes and backs out onto Rochester Road, and he has been frustrated many times maneuvering round there. He stated that he would support flipping the site over and thinks the Commission needs to have a conversation with Traffic as to what is more important, potential traffic issues down the roadway or shared access across these sites. He asked for confirmation of their price points, stating that Jax's may change based on location but is \$9, \$10 or \$12.

He noted that he supports their willingness to look at historical questions, and would not support taking stone from the existing building as it does not look great and looks like it is concrete. He suggested perhaps doing something similar in style to their rendering and noting that they showed a gray brick on the

bottom and could perhaps become a stacked stone look that is on the face of the building to pay homage to the existing site.

Mr. Weaver stated that he did not have any issue with their contributing to the Tree Fund rather than jamming nine more trees onto the site, and commented that he has been asked to jam more trees onto a site before and ended up having to replace all of them as well as others because trees need room to grow and if they are packed in too tightly, they don't. He commented that he would rather see the money go somewhere else in the community via the Tree Fund rather than cramming it onto this site.

Mr. Van Bremen responded that the lowest membership is \$20, the middle of the road is \$30, and the second highest is \$36. He noted that they just added ceramic, which is a different product, so their top tier is now \$40.

Mr. Weaver asked what it would be for a non-member.

Mr. Van Bremen responded it would be \$22 for the very top with the ceramic wash and then \$18, \$12, and \$7.

Ms. Denstaedt stated that she would echo everyone's sentiments regarding concerns with the backup and stacking. She stated that their competitors to the north have backed up onto Rochester Road many times, and also back up the left turn lane. She asked how long the car wash would take for a car to go through and how many cars per hour.

Mr. Van Bremen responded it would be approximately three minutes, and they typically run about 120 cars per hour.

Ms. Denstaedt expressed concerns regarding backup from the entrance from Meijer and noted that she did not know how to merge those cars in and not get those waiting in line upset. She stated that some changes need to be made for those types of situations and that the flip should be considered.

Ms. Neubauer noted that one of the benefits that the applicants have is that Mr. Gaber is a former Planning Commissioner who knows how to request a special meeting, which a lot of the Commissioners would be willing to do since they want to accommodate whatever time restraints the applicants are under. She stressed that it would be important for Traffic to be here and with what is missing from the Planning Department's review that they have requested to be submitted, the Commission would then have a full and complete picture of what they are proposing. She added that they could speak to the Historical Society and perhaps have a rendering of whatever they would be doing to commemorate the history. She stated that speaking for herself, she would be willing to come back on a special date to accommodate what they need to have done within their time constraints. She noted that the building or the business is not the issue and she would love to have them. She commented that she appreciates their candor.

Mr. Struzik commented that before everything was a subscription, people would just get a car wash here and there and pay for it out of pocket. He stated that if

someone was heading up John R and saw that Mr. T's was backing up to John R, they might continue on home instead. But if they had already paid for that car wash they would queue up because they want to get their money's worth. He pointed out that they said that 70 percent of their business is subscription-based, so that would lead to an increased likelihood of people having a willingness to queue up, and it generates a bit more concern.

He stated that as they indicated that the backside or south side would also insert cars into the queue, he would quickly recognize that it would be probably the shorter line and would go there. He commented that this would work great if Culver's weren't there, and noted that the Culver's already queues up onto that road and will be competing for space on the private road through the Meijer lot.

Mr. Weaver noted that he forgot to mention that comments from Planning regarding plant height and spacing had not been addressed and he wanted it on record that he would like to see those comments addressed.

Chairperson Brnabic stated that a conditional use request requires a public hearing, and although she knows that they are moving forward to postponement, because the hearing was advertised and a few people wanted to speak, she would open the public hearing. She noted that Mr. Yazbeck had sent an email but was here to speak.

Thomas Yazbeck, 1707 Devonwood Drive, stated that while he does not have any special connection to the site, he is concerned about the historical items and is so glad to hear that they are willing to work on that. He stated that he would echo the Commissioners' comments about the amount of queuing being concerning. He noted that there are not just car users in the area but would be public transit coming in the future as well. He added that it is walking distance to some residential sites and he would not like to see the site as a drive-through.

John Tenny, 2724 Emmons Ave., asked why it has to be rezoned, noting that Jax is one-quarter mile north, and Mr. T's is one mile to the east. He expressed concern that it was being shoehorned into this location and commented that his wife has a hard time getting their truck into Mr. T's.

Chairperson Brnabic responded that the property is not being rezoned and because of the drive-through status it is considered a conditional use and requires it to come before the Planning Commission and City Council. Seeing no more individuals wishing to speak she closed the public hearing.

Ms. Neubauer asked if Mr. Gaber would like to request a postponement.

Mr. Gaber responded that he thought they need a postponement to be able to button up these issues and look at the suggestion of reversing the building. He commented that unfortunately that is something that will require a lot of engineering and they would not be able to just flip it and change everything so it may take time. He mentioned that they try to have benefits to the city of access points and cross access. From a conceptual standpoint it makes a lot of sense and generally works. He stated that sometimes the benefits and costs have to be balanced and maybe for a site like this it does not make sense for

cross access from the west. He commented that whatever goes here is close to Auburn Road and may have conflict points and you may be able to deal with them in different ways. He stated that he is suggesting that trying to satisfy all of the objectives of the City may not always be in the ultimate best interest of the layout of the site and the way it operates. He asked if the City would consider making any adjustments based on those types of concerns given its policy.

Ms. Neubauer stated that with the postponement request, this would be something to discuss with the City because ultimately the whole reason for the request for cross access or limiting cross access is safety. She stated that this is something to discuss with Engineering, and when they come back Traffic should be here so that they can get a more comprehensive idea. She made a motion to postpone all three items. The motion was seconded by Mr. Struzik.

After calling for a voice vote, Chairperson Brnabic stated that the motion passed unanimously. She stated that they look forward to the applicants coming back addressing the concerns and the layout.

Mr. Gaber thanked the Commission for the offer of the Special Meeting.

Chairperson Brnabic stated that after Mr. Tenny spoke she should have addressed his comment regarding the drive-through. She explained that it is a conditional use to permit a car wash in certain locations, which must be reviewed and recommended for approval by Planning and then move on to City Council.

A motion was made by Neubauer, seconded by Struzik, that this matter be Postponed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye 9 - Bowyer, Brnabic, Denstaedt, Dettloff, Gallina, Hooper, Neubauer, Struzik and Weaver

Resolved, that the Rochester Hills Planning Commission hereby postpones the request for Conditional Use Recommendation to allow the applicant to address Planning Commission comments and concerns including site layout.

[2023-0374](#)

Request for Site Plan Approval - File No. PSP2022-0032 - to construct an approximately 3,677 sq. ft. car wash building with associated exterior cleaning stations for Clean Express Car Wash, located at 10 E. Auburn Rd., on the south side of Auburn Rd., east of Rochester Rd., zoned CB Community Business District with an FB Flex Business Overlay, Parcel No. 15-35-100-003, Clean Express Auto Wash, LLC, c/o Mannik & Smith Group, Inc., Applicant

A motion was made by Neubauer, seconded by Struzik, that this matter be Postponed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye 9 - Bowyer, Brnabic, Denstaedt, Dettloff, Gallina, Hooper, Neubauer, Struzik and Weaver

Resolved, that the Rochester Hills Planning Commission hereby postpones the request for Site Plan Approval to allow the applicant to address Planning Commission comments and concerns including site layout.

[2023-0375](#)

Request for Tree Removal Permit Approval - File No. PTP2023-0009 - to

remove two (2) regulated trees and to provide two (2) replacement trees with a total of 21 trees to be provided for Clean Express Car Wash located at 10 E. Auburn Rd., on the south side of Auburn Rd., east of Rochester Rd., Parcel No. 15-35-100-003, zoned CB Community Business District with an FB Flex Business Overlay, Clean Express Auto Wash, LLC, c/o Mannik & Smith Group, Inc., Applicant

A motion was made by Neubauer, seconded by Struzik, that this matter be Postponed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye 9 - Bowyer, Brnabic, Denstaedt, Dettloff, Gallina, Hooper, Neubauer, Struzik and Weaver

Resolved, that the Rochester Hills Planning Commission hereby postpones the request for Tree Removal Permit Approval to allow the applicant to address Planning Commission comments and concerns including site layout.