

No members of the public came forward to speak on non-agenda items.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2010-0109 Stiles School, 3976 S. Livernois Road (HDSC File #05-002)
Final Report Recommendation

Mr. Delacourt commented that the suggested changes have been made to the Final Report. If the report is acceptable to the HDSC, it is recommended that the Committee forward the report to Council for final consideration.

Acting Chair Dziurman asked if there were any comments/additions/deletions regarding the Final Report. He then asked if the additions to the building are non-contributing resources. Ms. Kidorf confirmed the structure's additions were excluded from the district, the map, and the Final Report.

MOTION by Kochenderfer, supported by Webster, to accept the Final Report recommendation as presented and to forward the report to Council for their final determination on or about February 7th.

A motion was made by Kochenderfer, seconded by Webster, that this matter be Accepted. The motion CARRIED by the following vote:

Aye 5 - Dziurman, Webster, Hannick, Thomasson and Kochenderfer

Absent 2 - Stamps and Thompson

2008-0663 National Twist Drill (HDSC File #08-002)
Preliminary Report Discussion

Mr. Delacourt indicated this is a preliminary report the HDSC has had previous discussions on. It was mentioned at the last meeting to bring this report back for discussion on how to proceed.

Acting Chairperson Dziurman commented he was not aware that the proposed district had been reduced. Mr. Delacourt explained that at one time there was an aerial photo similar to the Stiles School photo, that showed a revised proposed district encompassing the office buildings, but eliminating all of the manufacturing space. SHPO then pointed out that those parts of the building are considered contributing, whereas the additions that were eliminated from the Stiles School building were seen as not contributing. So, it seems SHPO was more resistant to the revised Twist Drill boundary determination than it was to the Stiles School boundary. The revised boundary was drawn by staff only as a starting point for discussion if the Committee wanted to consider something less than the entire parcel, and is not a recommendation. This boundary can be changed to whatever the Committee wishes to recommend, and staff would do its best to generate an acceptable boundary description. Mr. Delacourt stated he is not sure this approach to this property is ever going to be 100% acceptable to SHPO. Whether or not it's acceptable to SHPO, the Committee needs to assure that the boundary serves the purpose of protecting the most important portions of the resource. SHPO has said that designation is a local decision and can be undertaken without their support of a district. So if this reduced boundary is acceptable to the Study Committee and acceptable to Council, Mr.