## Leanne Scott

Michael Webber From:

Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2008 10:02 PM

To: Paul Davis

Leanne Scott Subject: FW: Engineering Standards

Mr. Davis,

Cc:

With regard to the Engineering Standards that are before us at the April 28th meeting, overall I would say that it is a good document and a lot of time has been put into updating it. I somewhat defer to Mr. Hooper's expertise on this particular issue.

I read with great interest his questions and comments from when this issue last came to council a few weeks back. I have also read your response to these points.

I guess I would make the general comment that I am fearful of increasing costs to our existing businesses and making it harder for us to attract new businesses with some of these changes.

Specifically, working off of Mr. Hooper's document. I guess I would list sections I am interested in discussing: 1. Hydrant spacing and fire flows - more info is needed on why the Fire Dept. asked that this part be left open ended.

- 2. I understand your point on the Double TV inspection of Sanitary in terms of who is liable to pick up damage costs - the city or the business. But hopefully we can discuss this one.
- 3. TV Storm Pipe I would like to hear the pros and cons on this one.
- 4. Hopefully we will have answer from the consultant on crushed concrete or crushed asphalt as an aggregate base material

Thanks Paul. I look forward to the discussion on Monday.

Mike