

Draft

Advisory Traffic and Safety Board
April 11, 2006
Meeting Minutes

Traffic Control Orders:

2006-0272 Parking Restrictions, PK-89, Yorktowne Commons Subdivision

Mr. Shumejko read the summary. In 2004 Yorktowne Drive was realigned with Meadowfield Drive in anticipation of installing a traffic signal at its intersection with Rochester Road. As part of the project, Yorktowne Drive was also striped down the centerline of the road with a dedicated left-turn lane onto Rochester Road. To date, the signal warrants have not been met, however, staff has been performing annual traffic counts to verify the warrants.

In April 2005, the City submitted its annual ACT 51 report to the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). The 2005 report requested that Yorktowne Drive be reclassified as a City Major Road since it functions as the main collector road into the subdivision, in addition to plans for signaling at its intersection with Rochester Road. MDOT has subsequently approved this reclassification of Yorktowne Drive from a City Local Road to a City Major Road with the condition that Yorktowne Drive includes “No Parking” restrictions on both sides of the road. The ACT 51 reclassification of Yorktowne Drive to a City Major Road will translate into additional monies provided through the State Gas Tax towards its operations and maintenance.

The request is that the Advisory Traffic and Safety Board support having the TCO No. PK-89 issued, and recommends that the City Council approve the TCO until rescinded or superseded.

Motion to approve was made by Mr. Brown
Second by Mr. Blackstone

Mr. Zendel asked if the residents on the street were notified, or were required to be. It was discussed that there were no homes on this stretch, but there was a car dealership on the west side, and Cavalier Office Building on the other side. There are also two lots fronting the road. Mr. Matich said notice had not been sent to them, but still could be. Chairperson Colling suggested they do so, but recommended the Board still act on the TCO.

Mr. Blackstone asked about plans for signaling this intersection with Rochester Road. Mr. Matich said it would be done when the warrants were met. Mr. Shumejko said there was a development going in on Meadowfield on the west side of Rochester Road, which

will bring in additional trips to help justify the signal. Mr. Hunter offered that at most times of the day it is not possible to go across Rochester Road there. Chairperson Colling said there was nothing to stop traffic flow, and that light has not created a natural intersection, but helps out subdivisions on the other side. The realignment was to prep it for alignment.

Ayes: All

Nays: None

Absent: Buiteweg

I:\Eng\TRAF\MTGS-AGENDAS-MINUTES-ETC\Minutes\2006\2006-0272 PK-89, Yorktowne Commons Sub Draft Excerpt.doc