GREEN SPACE / NATURAL FEATURES CRITERIA

Introduction to Green Space Acquisition Criteria

These criteria are intended to provide the Rochester Hills City Council and our citizens with a fair, rational and objective basis for the properties recommended by the Green Space Advisory Board (GSAB) for acquisition. To this end we utilized several documents and key studies that reflect the wishes of our citizens and the judgment of experts:

- 1. The millage approved by voters in September 2005, which proposes that Rochester Hills "permanently preserve natural green spaces, wildlife habitats and scenic views; protect woodlands, wetlands, rivers and streams; and expand the Clinton River Greenway and other trail corridors..."
- 2. Planning documents prepared by local citizens, city staff, and natural resource experts, foremost among them the City of Rochester Hills Natural Features Inventory; the Greenways Opportunity Plan; and the City of Rochester Hills Master Land Use Plan. To the extent possible, definitions and values established in these "core" documents are incorporated in the criteria, i.e. designations from the Natural Features Inventory are used to "score" wildlife habitat. Features such as woodlands, wetlands, and floodplains are also easily identified and quantified by reference to the City's GIS maps.
- 3. Criteria prepared by other Michigan communities, including Washtenaw County and Oakland Township. We should note that we also studied the work done by communities as diverse as Cambridge, Massachusetts and Wethersfield, Connecticut before preparing our own list.

Because the task of selecting land is complex and challenging, we also incorporated several "common sense" criteria into our list to ensure that our recommendations hold up under close scrutiny:

- 1. They must be simple enough to facilitate the review of numerous properties with different features without creating an administrative nightmare, but robust enough that experts and non-experts alike would agree on the decisions they yield.
- 2. They must be flexible enough to deal with unusual situations, such as the availability of outstanding properties with unusual features not anticipated in our criteria hence the addition of the "other" category.
- 3. To be fiscally responsible, they must encourage gifts of property or the sale of property at preferential prices by civic-minded citizens. In addition, our criteria were chosen to be fully consistent with major public and private grant providers so that we could take advantage of matching grant opportunities.

GREENSPACE / NATURAL FEATURES CRITERIA Rochester Hills, MI

Q1	INAM	ARY	ΩF	$C\Delta T$	FC(JD	IFC
U	J IVI IVI	\neg i\	UE	$\cup \land I$	LUI	ノハ	ıLO

B. C. D.	HABIT RECF OTHE	ER RESOURCES FAT PROTECTION REATIONAL / HISTORIC ER FACTORS RSE FACTORS	CAL RESOURCES				
Α.	WATE	R RESOURCES					
,		Rivers, streams, ponds, and lakes* Does the property have frontage on or					
		contain a perennial stre					
		Yes	= 5 points				
		No	= 0 points				
	2.	Hills Natural Features I a. Measure the percent	nventory (NFI)? tage of wetlands wit	lands identified by the City of Rochester hin the property:			
		50% - 100%	= 5 points				
		20% - 49% >1% - <20%	= 3 points				
		>1/0 - <20/0	= 1 point				
		b. Measure the total acreage of wetlands within the property:					
		>20 acres	= 5 points				
		10 - 20 acres	= 3 points				
		>1 acre	= 1 point				
		c. Does the property b Rochester Hills Natural Yes No		ed wetlands identified by the City of (NFI)?			
			·				
	3.	Floodplain* Does the 100 Year	property contain flo = 5 points	odplain designation?			
		500 Year	•				
	4.	<u>Steep Slopes*</u> Does t Yes No	he property contain = 1 – 5 points = 0 points	significant steep slopes?			
			•				

Total: Water Resources_____

HABI	TAT PROTECTION						
1.	Wildlife Habitat* Doe	es the property contain Pr	riority 1, 2, or 3 natural areas as				
		Natural Features Inventor					
	Priority 1	= 5 points					
	Priority 2						
	Priority 3	= 1 point					
	,						
2.	<u>Woodlands*</u> Does the Features Inventory?	e property contain woodle	ands identified by the city's Natural				
		ntage of woodlands withi	n the property:				
		_					
	>50% 20% - 49%	= 3 points					
	<20%	= 1 points					
	12070	- i pointo					
	b. Measure the total a	acreage of woodlands wit	hin the property:				
		= 5 points					
	10 - 20 acres	= 3 points					
	<10 acres	= 1 point					
		-					
		Total: Habitat Protect	ion				
RECE	REATIONAL / HISTOR	ICAL VALUE					
1.	1. Clinton River Greenway Corridor* Does the property have frontage on the						
	Clinton River?	7 nointe					
	Yes	= 7 points					
	No	= 0 points					
2.			property have frontage on: alloway Creek, Red Run or other				
	Yes	= 5 points					
	No	•					
	b. Clinton River or Pai	•					
	Yes	= 5 points					
	No	= 0 points					
3.	"Hubs or Sites" as ide	ntified in the Oakland Cou	acent to or potentially linked to unty Green Infrastructure Network?				
	Yes	= 5 points					
	No	= 0 points					

В.

C.

4.	<u>Scenic Views</u> . Does t scenic view?	he property contain scenic views or provide access to a				
	Yes	= 1 - 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
5.		Is the property accessible for passive recreation (i.e.,				
	hiking, biking, fishing, e Yes	etc) either from a publicly owned road, safety path or trail? = 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
0	Historical / Cultural*					
0.		Does the property include the location or remains of a site such as a pioneer homestead, Native American village or				
	trail, burial or ceremon	ial ground?				
	Yes	= 1 - 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
7.		* Is the property located in a voting district that is				
	underserved by existin <50 acres	g parklands or public open space? District with: = 5 points				
	50 acres - 149 acre	•				
	150 acres - 250 acr	res = 1 points				
8.	8. Size of Property* Total size of property in acres:					
	>10 acres	= 5 points				
	5 - 10 acres	·				
	2 - 5 acres	= 1 points				
		Total: Rec/Historical:				
D. OTHE	R FACTORS					
1.		Examples include threatened or rare native plants or				
	animals, old growth ha Describe briefly	tive and/or rare canopy trees, bike paths, etc.				
	Yes	= 1 - 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
2.	Market Value Conside	erations Is the property offered at below "market value,"				
	(i.e., less than 2 X Stat	e Equalized Value) or is a significant portion of the value				
	offered as a donation of Yes	or match for a grant? = 1 - 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
3	Dovolonment Disk Is	the property in imminent danger of being developed or				
5.	altered?	the property in infillinent danger of being developed of				
	Yes	= 1 - 5 points				
	No	= 0 points				
		Total: Other Factors:				

E. <u>ADV</u>	ERS	E FAC	TORS		
			nental Haza	rds*	
		Is the following commodule landfil	property curring purposes ercial printing	rently being used or has it is: gas station, motor vehicl g facility, dry cleaners, pho tment, storage, processing = -1 to -5 points	been used in the past for the le service or repair facility, oto developing lab, junkyard, g, or recycling, or disposal facility?
	b.	been opestic	used in the p ides or other	ast on the property: auton chemicals, paints, industratainers or storage tank? = -1 to -5 points	ed, discarded, or used or have they notive or industrial batteries, rial waste, or other chemicals in
2					erty offered at a cost greater than
	2 >	the S		ed by the City of Rochesto	er Hills assessor?
			Yes	= -1 to -5 points	
			No	= 0 points	
3	crit		at would deti		e factors not covered in these ause it to be a detriment to our
			Yes	= -1 to -5 points	
			No	= 0 points	
				Total Negative Factors	S:
				TOTAL SCORE:	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

-				
-	 	 	 	

^{*} Objective factors; scores derived from City of Rochester Hills source documents