



Rochester Hills Minutes Financial Services/Community Development & Viability Joint Committee

1000 Rochester Hills Drive
Rochester Hills, MI 48309
(248) 656-4660
Home Page:
www.rochesterhills.org

FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE:

Donald Atkinson, David Byrne, John Dalton, Kurt Dawson, Melinda Hill, Barbara Holder, Julie Jenuwine, Jillian Rataj, Lee Zendel

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT & VIABILITY COMMITTEE:

Ed Anzek, Bryan Barnett, Scott Cope, Frank Cosenza, Jim Duistermars, Barbara Holder, Michael Kaszubski, Erin Mozer, Roger Rousse, Katie Talbert, Mark Witte

Thursday, June 9, 2005

6:30 PM

1000 Rochester Hills Drive

SPECIAL JOINT MEETING - draft

CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Barnett called the Joint Financial Services/Community Development & Viability Committee meeting to order at 6:33 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present: Bryan Barnett, John Dalton, Melinda Hill, Barbara Holder, Frank Cosenza and Michael Kaszubski

Absent: Jim Duistermars and Donald Atkinson

CDV Non-Voting Members Present: Ed Anzek, Scott Cope, Roger Rousse, and Mark Witte

CDV Non-Voting Members Absent: Erin Mozer, Katie Talbert

FS Non-Voting Members Present: Kurt Dawson, Julie Jenuwine, David Byrne

FS Non-Voting Members Absent: Jillian Rataj

Others Present:

*Mayor Somerville
Paul Davis, City Engineer*

Committee Member Donald Atkinson, Jillian Rataj and Katie Talbert provided previous notice they would be unable to attend and asked to be excused.

NEW BUSINESS

2005-0400

Road Issue Discussion with Residential Streets Ad-Hoc Committee.

Attachments: Residential Streets Proposals.pdf

Joint Committee discussed Road Issue with Residential Streets Ad-Hoc Committee.

The purpose of discussion is to obtain feedback from Council Members, Members of

Financial Services and Members of Community Development & Viability Committees.

The Residential Street Ad-hoc Committee provided a report [which is attached to legislative file 2005-0040] noting the following:

** Residential Street Ad-hoc Committee was organized into three groups: 1) to establish general **government trust**, 2) explain and educate the community regarding **finance and funding** and what it will take to 3) **maintain and operate** a good road repair and reconstruction program.*

** The seven (7) member Ad-Hoc Committee noted the following three (3) proposals:*

** Split the presumed ballot question into two parts:*

- * Maintenance*
- * Reconstruction*

** Encourage City Council to impose the Administration Fee and a portion of the Authorized Gap in the City's millage rate:*

- * The first being taxation without a vote of up to .8 mills.*
- * The second is the continued use of general fund discretionary monies to subsidize a program.*

** City impose Special Assessment Districts as a means to offset the costs.*

** Impose a modified cap by establishing a policy that concrete streets will be repaired with asphalt unless benefiting residents pay the difference.*

The following five (5) proposals were brought forward:

Proposal One - the Split question

That the City place a Maintenance Millage on the ballot. The millage amount would be calculated to generate sufficient monies to provide residents with an "average level of service".

Proposal Two - the Second part of the Split question

That funding for the Reconstruction Program be achieved by a Vote of the citizens that supports a ten (10) year funding program with a bond issue that is repaid over a fifteen (15) year obligation.

Proposal Three

The City Council work closely with an Advisory Group of Citizens to initiate a procedure to refine and establish clear policies for road reconstruction and maintenance.

Proposal Four

The Committee feels strongly that there should be two (2) separate ballot issues, rather than one (1) ballot issue.

Proposal Five - added by staff for Committee's consideration

That any millage question regarding the Residential Street Program include language that a thirteen (13) member body be elected by the citizens at the next available ballot

upon passage of a dedicated millage to serve as an independent oversight committee to participate in the development of policies and to provide recommendations for the prioritization of the expenditures of residential street monies. The membership shall be two (2) members from each City Council District and five (5) members voted from an at large representation. The board shall meet on an as needed basis.

Committee members discussed the City of Hunting Woods successful millage strategy.

Residential Street Ad-hoc Committee members stated individual opinions and/or made suggestions regarding residential street millage proposals.

Discussed

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, Chairperson Barnett adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.

Minutes prepared by Sue Busam