

Rochester Hills Master Report

1000 Rochester Hills
Drive
Rochester Hills, MI 48309
(248) 656-4660
Home Page:
www.rochesterhills.org

File Number: 2004-0743

File Number: 2004-0743 File Type: Variance / Modification Status: To Council

Version: 1 Reference: 04-007 Controlling Body: City Council

Requester: Planning/Development Cost: Introduced: 09/01/2004

File Name: Bloomer Park Estates Request for Sidewalk Waiver Final Action:

Title: Request for Sidewalk Waiver - City File No. 04-004 - Bloomer Park Estates, a

proposed four-unit site condominium development on 1.82 acres, located on the north side of Bloomer Road, east of John R, zoned R-4, One Family Residential, known as

Parcel No. 15-13-151-019, P.E.G. Construction, applicant.

Notes:

Code Sections: Agenda Date: 09/15/2004

Indexes: Waiver Agenda Number:

Sponsors: Enactment Date:

20040817.pdf, Letter PEG 20040901.pdf

History of Legislative File

Ver- Acting Body: Date: Action: Sent To: Due Date: Return Result: sion: Date:

Text of Legislative File 2004-0743

..Title

Request for Sidewalk Waiver - City File No. 04-004 - Bloomer Park Estates, a proposed four-unit site condominium development on 1.82 acres, located on the north side of Bloomer Road, east of John R, zoned R-4, One Family Residential, known as Parcel No. 15-13-151-019, P.E.G. Construction, applicant.

..Body

Resolved, that the Rochester Hills City Council hereby grants a waiver of the sidewalk requirement in accordance with the provisions of Section 122-95 of the Subdivisions Ordinance, for Bloomer Park Estates (City File No. 04-007), a four-unit site condominium development on 1.82 acres located on the north side of Bloomer Road, east of John R, and south of Bloomer Park, zoned R-4 (One Family Residential) and known as Parcel Number 15-13-151-019, based on the preliminary plan dated received by the Planning Department on June 4, 2004 with the following findings.

FINDINGS:

1. The proposed sidewalk would not currently connect with any established non-motorized vehicle-pedestrian pathway or sidewalk.

Master Report Continued (2004-0743)

2. The proposed sidewalk would not be likely to connect to any non-motorized vehicle-pedestrian pathway or sidewalk in the future.