BERGMANN

To: Mr. David Hardin<br>Hillside Investment

Date: October 4, 2019

From: Steven J. Russo, PE Transportation Engineer

Re: Rochester Hills Office Development Traffic Impact Study (TIS)

## INTRODUCTION

This memorandum presents the results of the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed office development in the City of Rochester Hills, Oakland County, Michigan. The subject site is located on the south side of Hamlin Road approximately $3 / 4$ miles east of Squirrel Road and is currently vacant. The project will include construction of a 150,000 square feet (SF) professional office building with site access provided via two driveways to Hamlin Road. The study section of Hamlin Road is under City jurisdiction) and a TIS is required for site plan approval and permitting of site access.

The purpose of this TIS is to analyze traffic operations with and without the proposed development, in order to evaluate site access operations and identify any potential off-site impacts / required mitigation. In particular, access operations to Hamlin Road were analyzed to determine appropriate lane configurations to safely and efficiently process site traffic. Specifically, the Hamlin Road crossovers east and west of Rookery Drive, as well as the two proposed site access points, were evaluated for this TIS.

This TIS has been prepared in accordance with the methodologies and practices published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The zoning ordinances, guidelines, and standards of the City of Rochester Hills were referenced as applicable. Additionally, Bergmann solicited input regarding the scope of work from the City of Rochester Hills to gather understanding of what was required with respect to this TIS, which the City provided. This memorandum is intended for use by the City to guide decisions related to development project approvals, access permitting, and identifying future roadway improvements.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

This site is currently vacant and the proposed development project is subject to review by the City of Rochester Hills. Vehicle transportation for the facility will be provided via Hamlin Road. The study intersections are identified below and further details on the study network are summarized in Table 1.

Hamlin Road is a divided four-lane boulevard, with left-turning vehicles accommodated through multiple crossovers, where the crossovers themselves are stop-controlled while Hamlin Road is free-flowing. In particular, the crossovers which are expected to be used to access the new development are the crossovers to the east and west of Rookery Drive. The crossover which services vehicles entering the proposed development from the east is located approximately 125 feet west of Rookery Drive, and the crossover facilitating vehicles exiting to the west is located approximately 425 feet east of Rookery Drive. Drivers at the crossover west of Rookery Drive have the option to either turn left onto EB Hamlin Road, or they can continue south to access University Technology Park, an office building. Sidewalks are provided and continuous throughout the corridor, with no midblock / uncontrolled marked crosswalks. An overview of the site location is provided in the attached Figure 1.

Table 1: Roadway Summary

| Roadway Data | Hamlin Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class | Minor Arterial |
| Direction | E-W |
| Speed Limit (mph) | 45 |
| Jurisdiction | City |
| Cross Section | 4-Lane Divided |
| AADT | 18,370 |
| AM Peak Hour Volume | 1,837 |
| PM Peak Hour Volume | 1,542 |

Existing weekday AM (7:00 to 9:00) and PM (4:00 to 6:00) turning movement counts for the study intersections were collected by Bergmann subconsultant Traffic Data Collection, LLC. These counts were collected at the study intersections on Thursday, September 26, 2019, during typical traffic conditions while schools were in session and avoiding adverse weather conditions. The weekday AM and PM peak hours of existing road traffic were identified at each of the individual study intersections. Thru traffic volumes were balanced upward across the network. In general, the existing peak hours were determined to occur between 7:30 to 8:30 AM and 4:30 to 5:30 PM. The existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown on the attached Figure 2.

The study intersections were modeled using Synchro traffic analysis software based on the existing intersection geometry and peak hour traffic volumes. Peak hour factors were modeled by intersection approach, with the exception of vehicles turning onto a median crossover, where the movement peak hour factor was used, as guidance from the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Electronic Traffic Control Device Guidelines suggests. Existing AM and PM peak hour vehicle delays and Levels of Service (LOS) were calculated based on the methodologies of the Highway Capacity Manual, $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM6).

Typically, LOS D is considered acceptable, with LOS A representing minimal delay, and LOS F indicating failing conditions and/or volume exceeding capacity. Simulations of the study network were also observed using SimTraffic, in order to identify potential issues related to vehicle queuing, traffic flow between intersections, and the overall study network.

The SimTraffic model was calibrated based on the actual and simulated number of entering vehicles in accordance with the MDOT Electronic Traffic Control Device Guidelines. To complete this process, ten simulations of each peak period were performed and the average of the volumes for each turning movement was reported in the SimTraffic vehicles exited report. These volumes were then compared to actual traffic volumes collected at each intersection and considered validated when the field counts, and model results were within the greater of $\pm 10$ percent or $\pm 20$ vehicles.

Table 2: Existing Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach |  |  |  | $r$ | Approach |  | 9 |  | $r$ |
| 1. EB Hamlin Rd and WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 10 |  |  | XO | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | NB | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | - |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | NB | $\begin{gathered} 13.7 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13.7 <br> B |
|  | EB | Free |  |  |  | EB | Free |  |  |  |
| 2. WB Hamlin Rd and EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |  | XO | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 10.2 B |  |  |
| Minor STOP STOP | WB | Free |  |  |  | WB | Free |  |  |  |

The results of the existing conditions analysis, as summarized in Table 2, indicate that the study intersections currently operate at an acceptable level with all movements operating at a LOS C or better during both peak hours. Review of network simulations also indicates acceptable traffic operations during both peak periods with $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths for all movements calculated to be two vehicles or less as summarized in Table 3. These queues are accommodated by existing storage space.

Table 3: Existing Vehicle Queues

| Intersection | Approach | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Queue |  | 95th Queue |  | Avg. Queue |  | 95th Queue |  |
|  |  | Feet | Vehicles | Feet | Vehicles | Feet | Vehicles | Feet | Vehicles |
| 1. EB Hamlin Rd and WB to EB | XO | 24 | 1 | 45 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 29 | 1-2 |
| Hamlin Rd XO | NB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
| 2. WB Hamlin Rd and EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 29 | 1-2 |

1. Vehicle Queues calculated based on SimTraffic vehicle length of 25 feet.

## BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

Traffic impact studies typically include an evaluation of traffic operations in the future as they would be without the proposed development. This "background" condition serves to identify any mitigation that may be required regardless of the project, and as a baseline for comparison of future buildout conditions. This scenario is comprised of existing traffic conditions plus ambient traffic growth.

An ambient growth factor is applied to existing traffic volumes to account for future projects in the study area and population increases, as well as growth in regular traffic volumes due to development projects outside the study area. In order to determine the applicable traffic growth rate for the existing traffic volumes to the 2021 buildout year, historical traffic volume data on Hamlin Road west of Adams Road was reviewed, showing traffic volumes on both EB and WB Hamlin Road declining in recent years. However, the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG) forecasts annual growth rates of 0.25 percent in population and 0.30 percent growth in employment in the City of Rochester Hills during the study period. Therefore, an ambient background growth rate of 0.5 percent per year was utilized for
this study. MDOT has consistently applied this growth rate for other projects in Southeast Michigan and across the State, and this rate was therefore applied to the 2019 traffic volumes for a period of two years. The resulting background peak hour traffic volumes are summarized on the attached Figure 3.

Background AM and PM peak hour vehicle delays and LOS were calculated based on the methodologies of the HCM6 and are shown in Table 4. These calculations indicate all movements at the study intersections will continue to operate acceptably at a LOS C or better during both the AM and PM peak hours. Level of service ratings did not change for any approach or movement, and average delay per vehicle did not increase by more than a fifth of a second.

Table 4: Background Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach |  |  | 个 | $r$ | Approach |  | ¢ |  | $\xrightarrow{8}$ |
| 1. EB Hamlin Rd and WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 10.7B |  |  | XO | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | NB | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 0.0 A | NB | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13.8 B |
|  | EB | Free |  |  |  | EB | Free |  |  |  |
| 2. WB Hamlin Rd and EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | 17.8 $C$ |  |  | XO | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | 10.2 B |  |  |
| Minor STOP STOP | WB | Free |  |  |  | WB | Free |  |  |  |

## SITE TRIP GENERATION

The number of AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development were forecast based on the rates and equations published by ITE in Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The site trip generation forecast for the proposed development is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Site Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE Code | Amount | Units | Average Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Office | 710 | 150,000 | SF | 1,571 | 144 | 23 | 167 | 27 | 140 | 167 |

The vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the study road network based on existing traffic patterns and ITE methodologies. These methods indicate that new site trips will enter the network in the direction of current traffic patterns and return to their direction of origin. Existing traffic patterns are assumed to accurately reflect the relationship between residential areas and employment centers in this region, as well as traffic flows specific to this site. Specifically, employee passenger car vehicle trips during the weekday AM and PM peaks are assumed to travel with a pattern that is gravitated towards entering the site in the morning and leaving the site in the evening. Given this, traffic volumes on the study road network indicate the directional distributions for site-generated traffic summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Site Trip Distribution

| To/From | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| East | $\underline{60 \%}$ | $\underline{60 \%}$ |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The site-generated vehicle trips were assigned to the study road network based on this trip distribution pattern as shown on the attached Figure 4. The site-generated trips were added to the background traffic volumes to calculate the future peak hour traffic volumes shown on the attached Figure 5.

## AUXILIARY LANE ANALYSIS

In order to determine the configuration of the proposed site driveways with Hamlin Road, warrants for right turn lanes were evaluated. According to City standards, the RCOC turn lane warrant criteria outlined in the Permit Specifications and Guidelines shall be utilized in order to determine where turn lanes are required. As no two-way traffic volumes have been collected on the study section of Hamlin Road in the past five years, the future 24 -hour traffic volume was determined based on projected peak hour volumes along the study roadway. As a general rule of thumb, the peak hour traffic volumes along a roadway account for approximately $10 \%$ of the ADT. Evaluation of the forecast site traffic volume assignments versus 24 -hour volumes on Hamlin Road indicate that a right turn lane is warranted at the west site driveway, while only a taper is warranted at the east site driveway. The applicable warrant evaluations are attached.

## FUTURE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Future peak hour vehicle delays and LOS with the proposed development were calculated based on the existing lane configurations and traffic control, the proposed site access plan, and future traffic volumes. The results of the future conditions analysis are summarized in Table 7.

The results of this analysis indicate that all study intersection approaches and movements would continue to operate in a manner similar to background conditions. Comparison of background and future vehicle delays indicate little appreciable difference (less than two seconds per vehicle overall) in traffic operations at the study intersections, with no changes in existing level of service ratings at the approach and movement level. Therefore, this project would have no discernable impact on the adjacent road network.

Review of network simulations indicate that there will be increased queue length at crossover intersections, with both crossovers seeing average queue lengths of 36 feet ( $1-2$ vehicles). Storage space at the existing crossovers is sufficient to handle the anticipated increases in traffic volumes during both the AM and PM peak periods.

At both proposed site driveways to Hamlin Road, network simulations indicate acceptable traffic operations during the AM peak hour with vehicles able to enter and exit the site with minimal delays. During the PM peak hour, exiting vehicles from both the proposed site driveways see an acceptable level of service of $C$, with average queue lengths of 8 feet (1 vehicle) at each site driveway.

Table 7: Future Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach |  | 11.5 <br> B | $\rho$ | Approach |  | 亿 | $\Gamma$ |
| 1. EB Hamlin Rd and WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | - | XO | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | - |
|  | NB | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | NB | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | - | 13.9 B |
|  | EB | Free |  |  | EB | Free |  |  |
| 2. WB Hamlin Rd and EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO | XO | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  | XO | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Minor STOP <br> STOP | WB | Free |  |  | WB | Free |  |  |
| 3. EB Hamlin Rd and West Site Drive | NB | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | - | 9.2 A | NB | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | - | 15.3 $C$ |
| Minor STOP <br> STOP | EB | Free |  |  | EB | Free |  |  |
| 4. EB Hamlin Rd and East Site Drive | NB | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | NB | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | - | 15.4 <br> $C$ |
| Minor STOP <br> STOP | EB | Free |  |  | EB | Free |  |  |

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the information outlined herein regarding the proposed development and resulting traffic operations, there would be no discernable impact to traffic operations on the adjacent road network. This conclusion is based on the following key items:

- All study intersection approaches and movements currently operate acceptably at a LOS C or better during both peak hours.
- Background conditions analyses indicate all study intersection approaches and movements will continue to operate acceptably at a LOS C or better during the peak hours.
- Future vehicle delays indicate little appreciable difference in traffic operations at the study intersections relative to background conditions. The average increase in delay is less than two seconds per vehicle, with no change in LOS for any approach or movement.
- A right-turn lane is warranted at the proposed west site driveway, and right-turn taper is warranted at the proposed east site driveway.

The referenced traffic data, calculations, and analysis results are attached. Please direct any questions regarding this memorandum to Bergmann.

Attached: Figures 1 - 5
Existing Traffic Volume Data
Synchro HCM6 Calculations
Turn Lane Warrants






# Traffic Data Collection, LLC www:tdccounts.com 

Project: Roch Hills Traffic Impact Study Study:4 Hr. Video Turning Movement Count Weather: Sunny/Cldy, Dry Deg's 70's Count By Miovision Video VCU 3DQ NW

File Name : TMC_1 Hamlin_WB XO_W Rookery_9-26-19
Site Code : TMC_1
Start Date : 9/26/2019
Page No : 1

4 Hour video traffic study was conducted during typical weekday (Thursday) from 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM morning \& 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM afternoon peak hours, while school was in session.

|  | Hamlin WB > EB XO Southbound |  |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  |  |  | Univeristy Tech. Park Northbound |  |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 47 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 62 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 65 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 77 |
| Total | 0 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 251 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 59 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 81 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 56 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 57 |
| Total | 0 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 253 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 285 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 269 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 319 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 235 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1084 | 0 | 0 | 1084 | 1108 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 305 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 309 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 271 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 196 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1063 | 0 | 0 | 1064 | 1081 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 44 | 40 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 2581 | 0 | 0 | 2584 | 2693 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 99.9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 95.8 | 0 | 0 | 96 |  |
| Pass Cars | 0 | 43 | 40 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 2564 | 0 | 0 | 2567 | 2674 |
| \% Pass Cars | 0 | 97.7 | 100 | 0 | 98.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 100 | 99.3 | 0 | 0 | 99.3 | 99.3 |
| Single Units | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 14 |
| \% Single Units | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

TDC Traffic Comments: Non-signalized intersection. Video VCU camera was located within NE intersection quadrant. Traffic study was performed for City of Rochester Hills Traffic Impact Study for Bergmann.
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|  | Hamlin WB > EB XO Southbound |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  |  | Univeristy Tech. Park Northbound |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 57 | 65 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 77 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 59 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 66 | 81 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 29 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 238 | 0 | 239 | 282 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 67.4 | 32.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 99.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 659 | . 875 | . 717 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 902 | . 000 | . 905 | . 870 |
| Pass Cars | 0 | 29 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 232 | 0 | 233 | 276 |
| \% Pass Cars | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 97.5 | 0 | 97.5 | 97.9 |
| Single Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| \% Single Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
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|  | Hamlin WB > EB XO Southbound |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  |  | Univeristy Tech. Park Northbound |  |  |  | EB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 309 | 319 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 228 | 235 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 305 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 306 | 309 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1143 | 0 | 1143 | 1168 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 10 | 90 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 250 | . 563 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 469 | . 000 | . 000 | . 469 | . 000 | . 925 | . 000 | . 925 | . 915 |
| Pass Cars | 0 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1140 | 0 | 1140 | 1165 |
| \% Pass Cars | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 99.7 | 0 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| Single Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| \% Single Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
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4 Hour video traffic study was conducted during typical weekday (Thursday) from 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM morning \& 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM afternoon peak hours, while school was in session.

|  | WB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  |  | EB>WB XO_E Hamlin Northbound |  |  |  | WB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 233 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| 07:15 AM | 342 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 343 |
| 07:30 AM | 445 | 0 | 0 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 07:45 AM | 416 | 0 | 0 | 416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| Total | 1436 | 0 | 0 | 1436 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1437 |
| 08:00 AM | 374 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 |
| 08:15 AM | 351 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 08:30 AM | 309 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 |
| 08:45 AM | 229 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 |
| Total | 1263 | 0 | 0 | 1263 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1266 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 04:30 PM | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 04:45 PM | 95 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | 321 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 |
| 05:00 PM | 117 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 05:15 PM | 98 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 05:30 PM | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 05:45 PM | 84 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Total | 388 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 397 |
| Grand Total | 3408 | 0 | 0 | 3408 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3437 |
| Apprch \% | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 99.2 | 0 | 0 | 99.2 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pass Cars | 3387 | 0 | 0 | 3387 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3415 |
| \% Pass Cars | 99.4 | 0 | 0 | 99.4 | 0 | 96.6 | 0 | 96.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.4 |
| Single Units | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| \% Single Units | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Heavy Trucks | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |

TDC Traffic Comments: Non-signalized "T" intersection. Video VCU camera was located within NE intersection quadrant. Traffic study was performed for City of Rochester Hills Traffic Impact Study for Bergmann.
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|  | WB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  | EB>WB XO_E Hamlin Northbound |  |  | WB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 445 | 0 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 07:45 AM | 416 | 0 | 416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| 08:00 AM | 374 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 |
| 08:15 AM | 351 | 0 | 351 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| Total Volume | 1586 | 0 | 1586 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1587 |
| \% App. Total | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 891 | . 000 | . 891 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 892 |
| Pass Cars | 1580 | 0 | 1580 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1581 |
| \% Pass Cars | 99.6 | 0 | 99.6 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.6 |
| Single Units | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| \% Single Units | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Heavy Trucks | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
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|  | WB Hamlin Road Westbound |  |  | EB>WB XO_E Hamlin Northbound |  |  | WB Hamlin Road Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 95 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 05:00 PM | 117 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 05:15 PM | 98 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 05:30 PM | 89 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| Total Volume | 399 | 0 | 399 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 408 |
| \% App. Total | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 853 | . 000 | . 853 | . 000 | . 450 | . 450 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 872 |
| Pass Cars | 395 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| \% Pass Cars | 99.0 | 0 | 99.0 | 0 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.8 |
| Single Units | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% Single Units | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Heavy Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |
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## Level of Service Criteria for Two-Way-Stop-Controlled Intersections

| Control Delay (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq \mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $>\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-15$ | B | F |
| $>15-25$ | C | F |
| $>25-35$ | E | F |
| $>35-50$ | F | F |
| $>50$ |  |  |

LOS for TWSC intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay. For motor vehicles, LOS is determined for each minor-street movement (or shared movement), as well as the major-street left turns. LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole or for major-street approaches for three primary reasons: (a) major street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay; (b) the disproportionate number of major-street through vehicles at a typical TWSC intersection skews the weighted average of all movements, resulting in very low overall average delay for all vehicles; and (c) the resulting low delay can mask LOS deficiencies of minor movements. LOS F is assigned to a movement if its volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0, regardless of the control delay.

The LOS criteria for TWSC intersections differ somewhat from the criteria used for signalized intersections, primarily because user perceptions differ among transportation facility types. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes and will present greater delay than an unsignalized intersection. Unsignalized intersections are also associated with more uncertainty for users, as delays are less predictable than they are at signals.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6 $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 131 | 131 | 263 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 131 | 263 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.94 | 7.5 | 6.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.5 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.32 | 3.5 | 4 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 894 | 834 | 646 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 865 | 694 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 894 | 834 | 646 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 834 | 646 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 865 | 694 | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.6 |
| HCM LOS |  | A | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | -697 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | -0.086 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | -10.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | - |
| B | 0.3 |  |  |



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 891 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 17.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 286 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 17.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 615 | 615 | 1229 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 615 | 1229 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.5 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 439 | 379 | 179 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 450 | 252 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 439 | 357 | 179 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 357 | 179 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 424 | 252 | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 13.7 | 16.6 |
| HCM LOS | B | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 439 | - | -325 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.057 | - | -0.049 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.7 | - | -16.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| CO |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | -0.2 |



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 235 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 10.2 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 708 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.021 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |

1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd Performance

| Movement | EBT | EBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 231 | 2 | 13 | 34 | 280 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 231 | 2 | 13 | 34 | 280 |
| Input Volume | 238 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 282 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 200 | 95 | 117 | 99 |

2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBT | NBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1597 | 1 | 1598 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1597 | 1 | 1598 |
| Input Volume | 1586 | 1 | 1588 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 80 | 101 |

3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 47 | 1552 | 1599 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 47 | 1552 | 1599 |
| Input Volume | 43 | 1548 | 1590 |
| \% of Volume | 110 | 100 | 101 |

4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 0 | 245 | 245 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 0 | 245 | 245 |
| Input Volume | 1 | 252 | 253 |
| \% of Volume | 0 | 97 | 97 |

## Total Network Performance

| Vehicles Exited | 1833 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1833 |
| Input Volume | 5538 |
| \% of Volume | 33 |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directions Served | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 14 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
|  |  |
| Intersection: 3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd |  |

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (t)
Average Queue (t)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (tt)
Upstream BIk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (tt)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Network Summary
```

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2

1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd Performance b

| Movement | EBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1128 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 1154 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1128 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 1154 |
| Input Volume | 1143 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 1168 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 111 | 91 | 80 | 99 |

2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBT | NBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 398 | 8 | 406 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 398 | 8 | 406 |
| Input Volume | 399 | 9 | 408 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 89 | 99 |

3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 9 | 398 | 407 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 9 | 398 | 407 |
| Input Volume | 10 | 400 | 410 |
| \% of Volume | 90 | 100 | 99 |

4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 8 | 1147 | 1155 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 8 | 1147 | 1155 |
| Input Volume | 9 | 1160 | 1170 |
| \% of Volume | 89 | 99 | 99 |

## Total Zone Performance

| Vehicles Exited | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 4 |
| Input Volume | 3155 |
| \% of Volume | 0 |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 29 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 387 | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Directions Served | L |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 42 |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 6 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue ( ft )
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 132 | 132 | 265 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 132 | 265 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.94 | 7.5 | 6.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.5 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.32 | 3.5 | 4 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 893 | 832 | 644 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 864 | 693 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 893 | 832 | 644 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 832 | 644 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 864 | 693 | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | A | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | -695 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | -0.086 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | -10.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | - |
| B | 0.3 |  |  |



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 900 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | 6.8 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | 282 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 17.8 |
| HCM LOS |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 282 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 17.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个4 | 「 |  |  |  |  |  | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － |  | None | － |  | None | － | － | None |  | － | None |
| Storage Length | － | － | 50 | － | － | － | － | － | 0 | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － |  | 16983 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 1241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 2 | 0 |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 0 | 13.8 | 16.8 |
| HCM LOS | B | C |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | 435 | - | -322 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.057 | - | -0.049 |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | 13.8 | - | -16.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| CO |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | 0.2 | - | -0.2 |



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 237 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 10.2 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 706 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.021 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 29 |
| Link Distance (tt) | 469 | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue ( ft )
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0

1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd Performance

| Movement | EBT | EBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 233 | 2 | 12 | 34 | 281 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 233 | 2 | 12 | 34 | 281 |
| Input Volume | 240 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 284 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 200 | 87 | 117 | 99 |

2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBT | NBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1617 | 1 | 1618 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1617 | 1 | 1618 |
| Input Volume | 1602 | 1 | 1603 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 80 | 101 |

3: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 46 | 1573 | 1619 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 46 | 1573 | 1619 |
| Input Volume | 43 | 1563 | 1606 |
| \% of Volume | 108 | 101 | 101 |

4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 0 | 246 | 246 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 0 | 246 | 246 |
| Input Volume | 1 | 254 | 255 |
| \% of Volume | 0 | 97 | 96 |

Total Zone Performance

| Vehicles Exited | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 4 |
| Input Volume | 3748 |
| \% of Volume | 0 |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directions Served | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Directions Served | L |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 11 |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 0 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
|  |  |  |

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 4: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (t)
Average Queue (t)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (tt)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (tt)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Zone Summary
```

```
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: }
```

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢个 | 「 |  |  |  |  |  | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 298 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 0 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 298 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 29 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － |  | None | － |  | None | － | － | None |  | － | None |
| Storage Length | － | － | 50 | － | － | － | － | － | 0 | － | － | － |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － |  | 16983 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 327 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 40 | 0 |


| Major／Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 164 | 164 | 328 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 164 | 328 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.94 | 7.5 | 6.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.5 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow－up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.32 | 3.5 | 4 | - |
| Pot Cap－1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 852 | 791 | 594 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 828 | 651 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked，\％ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap－1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 852 | 791 | 594 | - |
| Mov Cap－2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 791 | 594 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 828 | 651 | - |


|  | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Control Delay，s | 0 | 0 | 11.5 |
| HCM LOS | A | B |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | - | -736 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | - | -0.243 |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | 0 | - | -11.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | - |
| B | 1 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | 个.4 | l |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1688 | 10 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1688 | 10 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 89 | 89 | 60 | 60 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1897 | 17 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 949 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 949 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 6.8 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 5.8 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 3.5 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 262 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 341 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 262 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | 262 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 341 | - |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 19.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 262 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.064 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 19.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Minor1 |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 160 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | -9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | 3.3 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 863 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 863 |
| Platoon locked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 9.2 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 863 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.015 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - |



| Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Major/Minor | Major1 | 161 |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | 6.9 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | 3.3 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 0 | 862 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | 862 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 9.2 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 862 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.014 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - |




| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 13.9 | 17.2 |
| HCM LOS | B | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 431 | - | -337 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.058 | - | -0.122 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.9 | - | -17.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| CO |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | -0.4 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | 中4 | T. |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 65 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 65 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 85 | 85 | 60 | 60 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 493 | 108 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 247 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 247 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 7.02 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 6.02 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 3.61 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 695 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 745 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 695 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | 695 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 745 | - |


| Approach | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 11.1 |
| HCM LOS |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 695 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.156 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - |




| Approach | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 15.3 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 425 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.179 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 15.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 640 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | -9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | 3.3 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 423 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 423 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 15.4 |
| HCM LOS | $C$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 423 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.18 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 15.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directions Served | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 10 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 14 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 3: West Site Drive \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 271 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: East Site Drive \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 238 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 23 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 8: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 75 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Network Summary |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 14 |  |

Intersection: 1: University Tech Park Drive/WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 467 | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 7 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 86 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 68 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: West Site Drive \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 69 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 271 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: East Site Drive \& EB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 288 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: WB to EB Hamlin Rd XO W. of Rookery Dr \& WB Hamlin Rd

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 0 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 8: EB Hamlin Rd \& EB to WB Hamlin Rd XO E. of Rookery Dr

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 9 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 73 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Zone Summary |  |

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 6

RGURE 6-3


2019 PEAK = 1,837
$+0.5 \%$ per year growth to $2021=1,855$
\10\% K-factor = 18,550
$+1,572$ new daily trips
= 20,122 2021 ADT

## RGURE 6-3

HAMLIN ROAD \& W. SITE DRIVE RIGHT-TURN LANE WARRANT


WARRANTS FOR RIGHT TURN DECELERATION LANE OR TAPER


2019 PEAK = 1,837
$+0.5 \%$ per year growth to $2021=1,855$
$\backslash 10 \%$ K-factor $=18,550$
$+1,572$ new daily trips
$=20,1222021$ ADT

