

Sara Roediger <roedigers@rochesterhills.org>

FW: LRH Request #2 - Feedback

2 messages

Kirstie Hardy < Hardy K@aktpeerless.com>

Wed, Aug 21, 2019 at 8:35 AM

To: "Sara Roediger (roedigers@rochesterhills.org)" <roedigers@rochesterhills.org>

Cc: Stan Jakse <sjakse@goldbergcompanies.com>, Evan Vlaeminck <evlaeminck@gciresidential.com>, Peter Nintcheff <pnintcheff@goldbergcompanies.com>, Arthur Siegal <asiegal@jaffelaw.com>, John Staran <jstaran@hsc-law.com>, Thomas Wackerman <twacker@asti-env.com>, Joe Snyder <snyderj@rochesterhills.org>, Maureen Gentry <qentrym@rochesterhills.org>, Brian Westhoff <westhoffb@aktpeerless.com>

Good morning,

I am responding to Request Numbers 2 and 3 from ASTI's memo received yesterday. Brian Westhoff has provided additional information in the email below regarding the geographic location of activities completed by HM (Reference Lines 88 to 131 in the memo) and regarding the AKT Peerless invoices from January and February of this year (Reference Lines 153 and 154).

Additionally, Tom has suggested that the proof of payment for the AKT Peerless invoices that was provided in the original submittal might be insufficient. We provided an A/R Ledger showing payments and check numbers for all invoices included in the request. This was the same proof of payment documentation provided in the first request which was approved by your board. If you need additional documentation for proof of payment (signed unconditional lien waiver or copies of canceled checks, for example), please let me know what you would prefer and we would be happy to oblige.

Arthur Siegal is out of the office this week. I will work with him upon his return to address the questions regarding the Jaffe invoicing and provide additional information or documentation.

Thank you, Kirstie Hardy AKT Peerless Environmental (248) 227-6579

From: Brian Westhoff < westhoffb@aktpeerless.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 3:15 PM
To: Kirstie Hardy < Hardy K@aktpeerless.com>

Cc: Kate Riley <RileyK@aktpeerless.com>: Tony Anthony <Anthony T@aktpeerless.com>

Subject: RE: LRH Request #2 - Feedback

No problem. Please see answers below in italics.

Thank you!

Brian Westhoff
AKT Peerless
C (248) 762-0330
westhoffb@aktpeerless.com<mailto:westhoffb@aktpeerless.com>

From: Kirstie Hardy <HardyK@aktpeerless.com<mailto:HardyK@aktpeerless.com>>

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 12:56 PM

To: Brian Westhoff <westhoffb@aktpeerless.com<mailto:westhoffb@aktpeerless.com>>

Cc: Kate Riley <RileyK@aktpeerless.com<mailto:RileyK@aktpeerless.com>>

Subject: LRH Request #2 - Feedback

Hi Brian.

We received feedback today from ASTI regarding the 2nd TIF reimbursement request that was submitted. Can you please respond to this email with just a few sentences addressing the following two requests from ASTI?

1 -- ASTI is requesting that we provide additional information about the work that HM performed in 2018. E.g. parcel A or B2

- * In order to get a birds eye view of Parcel A and B in relation to the work conducted, please refer to Figure 27 of the No Further Action Report. As shown on Figure 27, the majority of the material removed by HM was from Parcel A, however, small portions of Area B and C East (C-2) were located on Parcel B. These areas were defined in the Brownfield Plan and Act 381 Work Plan. To cover each of the areas and hotspots in an email would be lengthy. A detailed summary of each area and hot spot removal action is documented in the No Further Action Report in Section 7 (pages 11-20). This section of the NFA report provides a detail of each area and hot spot addressed. The Brownfield Plan tracks the source funding of Area B and C East (C-2) as Parcel A on page 21 and in table on page 28 of Act 381 Work Plan.
- 2 ASTI is requesting additional explanation of the work that we billed to bill group 21 (Encapsulation Oversight) in January and February (e.g. planning for/coordinating future encapsulation work).
- * January charges for BG 21 were related to vent design
- * February charges for BG 21 were related to addressing City and ASTI questions on proposed green and yellow removal areas, conducting test pits on Parcel B for boundary definitions of green and yellow proposed excavation areas, evaluate optional vent design, meetings/calls with client, City, MDEQ, PEA, and/or ASTI. PEA was contracted to conduct surveying of encapsulation area and excavation areas during the discussed changes, Parcel B property boundary with Innovation Hills in relation to embankment was surveyed, Innovation Hills tree survey was conducted, and modifications of final drawing submittals for site plan review (C-2.0 and C-2.1).

I'll send your email directly to ASTI & the City as additional backup information for the request.

Thanks! Kirstie Hardy Project Manager

AKT Peerless Environmental
333 W Fort Street, Ste 1410
Detroit, MI 48226
P(313) 962-9353
C(248) 227-6579
hardyk@aktpeerless.com<mailto:hardyk@aktpeerless.com>

[cid:image001.png@01D55758.14489FA0]



Sara Roediger <roedigers@rochesterhills.org>
To: Thomas Wackerman <twacker@asti-env.com>

Fri, Sep 6, 2019 at 2:12 PM

I take it back...we received this email on Aug. 21...

Sara Roediger, AICP

Planning and Economic Development Director direct 248.841.2573 roedigers@rochesterhills.org dept. 248.656.4660 planning@rochesterhills.org

planning@rochesterhills.org www.rochesterhills.org



2 attachments



