



Opposition to location of proposed Premier Academy

1 message

Caren Stajninger < carenstajninger@gmail.com> To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Tue, Dec 19, 2017 at 5:52 PM

Hello,

As a resident of Rochester Hills, I am writing tonight to express my dismay at the proposed development at the corner of Adams and Tienken.

Aside from the existing and considerable traffic issues of volume and safety, my concern is also around the continued development of green space when we have many spaces already developed and unused. Instead of continued development of new buildings, I would prefer to see the city encourage and entice the use of existing empty spaces (ex: former Kmart plaza, both plazas at Rochester & Hamlin, near Secretary of State on Crooks, etc.). This will not only help to preserve the peace, aesthetic and natural vibrance our city has, but will also have the added benefit of bringing more traffic to help support and enable the existing businesses neighboring some of these open spaces.

My husband and I moved back to Rochester Hills to raise our family because we know what a wonderful place it was for us to grow up in. We hope to see the city keep its identity and visual appeal, and not become a concrete replica of Troy without charm or character. Rochester Hills has an incredible appeal through its unique blend and balance of green space and commerce. Lets keep our city in the sweet spot and strive to enhance and maximize the developed spaces we already have before looking elsewhere.

Thank you for your time,

Caren Stajninger 1989 Chalmers Dr W



Premier Academy

1 message

The Amanda Kirksey <akirksey@wowway.com> To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 8:50 AM

Hello there!

Brian and I couldn't attend the meeting, but but we both want you to know that we strongly oppose the building of Premier Academy on Adams/Tienken. This is our corridor and the traffic is already atrocious. There are kids darting around on bikes and walking (in the dark) to school, teenagers driving at the high school, and already a fully congested area. Shadowwoods is already used as a cut through and I feel it will just be even more so with another business there.

And then there is the whole part of adding another private school to compete with RCS, but that's another day, another conversation.

Just wanted to let you know where we stand. Thank you for all that you are doing!

Amanda Kirksey 425 Sunlight Drive 12 year's Rochester Hills resident



Premier Academy at the corner of Adams and Tienken

1 message

Laura Cassar cassar@comcast.net To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 8:21 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

As a Rochester Hills resident with children at Adams H.S. and Van Hoosen M.S. I want to strongly voice my dissent to the Academy being built on the corner. That corner is already a dangerous, congested mess. To add to that is endangering our students. Their plan to extend the turn lane means NOTHING in the real-life situation.

I sit in that traffic twice daily. I implore you to do the same for at least a week and then image YOUR child trying to cross that intersection.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

Laura Cassar 248-515-7247



Deny Application for Premier Academy

1 message

Julie Urbano <julie.urbano@gmail.com> To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 10:12 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am requesting that you DENY the Premier Academy Conditional Land Use and Site Plan application because it is in direct violation of the Rochester Hills zoning ordinance. The West end of the building is located within the required front yard setback along Adams Rd.

This is already a dangerous and congetsed intersection with many new drivers (high schoolers). This school and the traffic is brings will exacerbate these conditions even more.

Sincerely,

Julie Urbano 1837 Old Homestead Dr. Rochester Hills, MI 48306



Deny premier academy

1 message

Bob Mrozinski <usedphones1959@yahoo.com> Reply-To: Bob Mrozinski <usedphones1959@yahoo.com>

To: "planning@rochesterhills.org" <planning@rochesterhills.org>

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 10:35 AM

This is a residential neighborhood already dangerously overcrowded with traffic. It is already heavily congested with two major schools (and naturally, many poorly experienced drivers) quite close. There ought to be many fine places for a new private school. They ught to find it elsewhere.

Please deny the Premier Academy Conditional Land Use and Site Plan application.

Bob Mrozinski

1687 Hillcrest Rochester Hills



Premier Academy "Day Care" - Disappointed with decision to approve

1 message

Bryan Dilley

bryandilley@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 11:38 AM

To: planning@rochesterhills.org, roedigers@rochesterhills.org, moritas@rochesterhills.org, mccardellj@rochesterhills.org, hetrickd@rochesterhills.org, tisdelm@rochesterhills.org, kubicinaj@rochesterhills.org, bowyers@rochesterhills.org, deelr@rochesterhills.org

Dear RH Planning Commission,

Can anyone explain why the Fire Marshal Law (no children under 6 on second floor) does not apply to Premier Academy "Day Care"?

I was at the meeting last night, and I am completely shocked that it even went to a vote last night, let alone passing; and unanimously !?!?!? I left the meeting very confident this project warranted further discussion, and community involvement. I find it unbelievable that not one of the votes was against it. I also find it very concerning that while our tax dollars had to pay for 4 Rochester Hills elementary schools, closed for 1 week in Sept 2016, to move any students under age 6 from the 2nd floor, per State Fire Marshal code. This "Day Care" is allowed to have kids between the age of 3-5 on the second floor?

Either the law does not apply to them because they are a private school, or a day care? Or, they plan on enrolling older kids and this "day care" was just called that so they could circumvent the law, when in reality, they are a school. Either way, the law should apply to them as well. I am completely disgusted about this entire process and the decision.

Sincerely,

Bryan & Lynn Dilley 1727 Devonwood Dr Rochester Hills



Premier Academy - RH

1 message

Amanda Klimaski <almarks86@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 20, 2017 at 12:27 PM

To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Dear Commissioners,

I am requesting that you DENY the Premier Academy Conditional Land Use and Site Plan application because it is in direct violation of the Rochester Hills zoning ordinance.

The West end of the building is located within the required front yard setback along Adams Rd.

Sincerely,

Amanda & Nick Klimaski 1710 Edinborough Dr. Rochester Hills, MI 48306



Premier Academy

1 message

Cheryl Nahas <cheryl.nahas@gmail.com> To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Fri, Dec 22, 2017 at 10:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am requesting that you DENY the Premier Academy Conditional Land Use and Site Plan application because it is in direct violation of the Rochester Hills zoning ordinance.

The traffic here is heavy now, the next step would be to widen Adams Rd. This is a residential area and we don't need the current housing values negatively impacted.

Thank you, Cheryl Nahas 1114 N Adams Rd Rochester Hills, MI 48306



No on Premier Academy

1 message

Jamie Hilliard <jdhillia@gmail.com> To: planning@rochesterhills.org

Thu, Jan 11, 2018 at 12:32 PM

To whom It May Concern,

This development does not belong in this location. Traffic flow can not handle this new volume regardless of the developers claims of "non determined drop off pick up time". There will be hundreds of additional staff, parents and caregivers on the road. In the past two weeks alone four accidents were reported. One of which left a man in critical condition.

Secondly this is a clear violation of zoning. Regardless of the semantics game being played by the city, Premier's own website calls itself a school. Further more it has a curriculum, certified instructors and many, many classrooms. By their own admission on their website they state that they are a Montessori school with certified teachers, specials, parent teacher conferences and a food service program. The only word not used on their webpage is day care. I would like the city to address on the record what their definition of a school is and what their definition of day care is and explain what characteristics Premier has for its current status.

The City needs to seriously think about the consequences of their actions.

Jamie Hilliard

Sent from my iPhone