

# Planning and Economic Development Ed Anzek, AICP, Director

From:

Sara Roediger, AICP

Date:

7/8/2016

Re:

Nottingham Woods (City File #15-004)

Final Site Condominium Plan - Planning Review #1

The applicant is proposing to construct a 17-unit, single-family site condominium development on 8.7 acres on the north side of Hamlin Road between Livernois and Crooks Roads. The project was reviewed for conformance with the City of Rochester Hills Zoning Ordinance (Chapter 138) and One-Family Residential Detached Condominiums Ordinance (Chapter 122, Article IV). The comments below and in other review letters are minor in nature and can be incorporated into a revised site plan submittal following review by the Planning Commission to ensure compliance.

 Background. This project has received Preliminary Site Condominium Plan approval from City Council on February 8, 2016, following a recommendation for approval by the Planning Commission on August 18, 2015 with the following findings and conditions, applicable comments from staff are italicized.

#### Findings:

- 1. Upon compliance with the following conditions, the proposed condominium plan meets all applicable requirements of the zoning ordinance and one-family residential detached condominium.
- 2. Adequate utilities are available to properly serve the proposed development.
- 3. The preliminary plan represents a reasonable street layout.
- 4. The Environmental Impact Statement indicates that the development will have no substantially harmful effects on the environment.
- 5. Remaining items to be addressed on the plans may be incorporated on the final condominium plan without altering the layout of the development.

#### Conditions:

- 1. Provide all off-site easements, on-site conservation easement and agreements for approval by the City prior to issuance of a Land Improvement Permit. *Must be provided before LIP*.
- 2. Provide landscape bond in the amount of \$98.049.00 plus inspection fees, prior to issuance of a Land Improvement Permit. *Must be provided before LIP*.
- 3. Provide an irrigation plan and cost estimate, prior to issuance of a Land Improvement Permit. *Must be provided before LIP.*
- 4. Payment of \$3,400 into the tree fund for street trees prior to issuance of a Land Improvement Permit. *Must be provided before LIP.*
- 5. Approval of all required permits and approvals from outside agencies. Must be provided before LIP.
- 6. Compliance with applicable staff memos, prior to Final Site Condo Plan Approval. *Must be provided before Final Site Condo Plan Approval.*
- 7. Compliance with Building Department memo dated July 21, 2015, prior to Building Permit Approval. *Must be provided before Building Permit Approval.*
- 8. Submittal of By-Laws and Master Deed for the condominium association along with submittal of Final Preliminary Site Condo Plans. *Must be provided before LIP.*

Three additional conditions of approval were part of the Planning Commission recommendation that were addressed prior to City Council review as follows:

- 9. That tree protective fencing is shown on the drawings, prior to City Council review. In compliance, tree protective fencing is indicated on the tree preservation plan.
- 10. That the applicants work with adjacent property owners to work out a solution to keep the fences on the west, north and east property lines, prior to City Council review. In compliance, the applicants held a meeting with surrounding residents on January 19, 2016. A second meeting was held on January 26, 2016 with the neighbor to the west (Dr. Rao) as he could not attend the original meeting. As a result of the meetings the applicant has increased the landscaping along all property lines as depicted in the final plans and has confirmed that the existing fences along the eastern and western property lines will remain. As a residential use abutting another residential use, a landscaped buffer is not required between uses; however the applicant has voluntarily offered to provide landscaping as depicted. Please note that the neighbor to the west continues to demand additional landscaping along the entire length of his property line (nearly 800 feet) but the applicant has indicated a good faith effort to provide screening and privacy in the area near the existing home (between lots 3, 4 and 5; nearly 300 feet) consistent with the level of screening being provided within close proximity of existing and proposed homes.
- 11. That additional screening is shown for the north boundary west of the detention pond and the east boundary along the road, as approved by Staff, prior to City Council review. In compliance, substantial landscaping consisting primarily of evergreen trees has been added along the northern, eastern and western property lines.
- 2. **Condominium Review Process** (Section 122-366-368). The condominium review process consists of a two step process as follows:
  - a. **Step One: Preliminary Plan.** The preliminary plan is intended to depict existing site conditions, proposed use, layout of streets and lots, location of site improvements, buildings, utilities, and open space including an environmental impact statement to document the information required in the subdivisions ordinance for tentative approval of a preliminary subdivision plat. This step requires a Planning Commission recommendation to City Council followed by review by the City Council.
  - b. **Step Two: Final Plan.** The second step in the process is to develop final site plans based on the approved preliminary plan and to submit the Master Deed and evidence of all state and county agency approvals. This step requires a Planning Commission recommendation to City Council followed by review by the City Council.
- 3. **Zoning and Use** (Section 138-4.300). The site is zoned R-3 One Family Residential District Residential with MR Mixed Residential Overlay which permits one-family detached dwellings as permitted uses. Refer to the table below for the zoning and existing and future land use designations for the proposed site and surrounding parcels.

|               | Zoning Existing Land  |                              | Use Future Land Use             |  |
|---------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Proposed Site | R-3 One Family Residential w/<br>MR Mixed Residential Overlay | Single family homes          | Residential 3/Mixed Residential |  |
| North         | R-4 One Family Residential                                    | Avon Hills Subdivision       | Residential 4                   |  |
| South         | R-3 One Family Residential                                    | Cumberland Hills Subdivision | Residential 3                   |  |
| East          | R-3 One Family Residential w/<br>MR Mixed Residential Overlay | Single family homes          | Residential 3/Mixed Residential |  |
| West          | R-3 One Family Residential w/<br>MR Mixed Residential Overlay | Single family homes          | Residential 3/Mixed Residential |  |

4. **Site Layout and Access** (Section 138-5.100-101 and 138-5.200). Refer to the table below as it relates to the area, setback, and building requirements of the R-3 district.

| Requirement  | Proposed   | Staff Comments |
|--|--|----------------|
| Avg. Min. Lot Width (Lot Size Variation option)<br>90 ft., no lot less 81 ft. (10%)                | Avg. 92.6 ft., ranging from 81 to 105 ft.                  | In compliance  |
| Avg. Min. Lot Area (Lot Size Variation option)<br>12,000 sq. ft., no lot less 10,800 sq. ft. (10%) | Avg. 13,737 sq. ft., ranging from 12,076 to 20,745 sq. ft. | In compliance  |
| Max. Density 2.9 dwelling units/acre=24 units  | 17 units (1.9 units per acre)                              | In compliance  |
| Max. Height<br>2.5 stories/30 ft.  | 2.5 stories/30 ft.   | In compliance  |
| Min. Front Setback<br>30 ft.   | 30 ft.   | In compliance  |
| Min. Side Setback (each/total)<br>10 ft./20 ft., 25 ft. side lot abutting corner                   | 10 ft./20 ft., 25 ft. corner (Unit 2, 8 & 17)              | In compliance  |
| Min. Rear Setback<br>35 ft.  | 35 ft.   | In compliance  |
| Min. Floor Area<br>1,200 sq. ft  | 1,200 sq. ft.  | In compliance  |
| Max. Lot Coverage<br>30%   | 30%  | In compliance  |

- 5. **Natural Features.** In addition to the comments below, refer to the review letters from the Engineering and Forestry Departments that pertain to natural features protection.
  - a. **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** (Section 138-2.204.G) An EIS has been submitted that indicates there are no wetlands on the site however the eastern portion of the site is heavily wooded.
  - b. **Wetlands** (Section 126 Natural Resources, Article IV Wetland and Watercourse Protection). The site does not contain any regulated wetlands.
  - c. Natural Features Setback (Section 138-9 Chapter 1). The site does not contain any required natural features setbacks.
  - d. Steep Slopes (Section 138-9 Chapter 2). The site does not contain any regulated steep slopes.
  - e. **Tree Removal** (Section 126 Natural Resources, Article III Tree Conservation). The site is not subject to the City's tree conservation ordinance as the site was subdivided prior to the enactment of the tree preservation ordinance. As such, the applicant proposes to save approximately 18% of the regulated trees. **Note 1 on sheet 07 needs to be removed as this site does not require tree replacement credits.**

6. **Landscaping** (Section 138-12.100-308 and Section 122-304(7)). Refer to the table below as it relates to the landscape requirements for this project.

| Requirement   | Proposed   | Staff Comments  |
|---|--|---|
| Street Trees Min. 1 deciduous per lot = 17 deciduous  | 17 deciduous   | These trees need to be removed on the plans & from the cost summary as the city shall plant street trees in the ROW after construction of the project is complete, the applicant shall pay \$200 per lot to account for this planting |
| Right of Way (Hamlin: 212 ft.) 1 deciduous per 35 ft. + 1 ornamental per 60 ft. = 6 deciduous + 4 ornamental                          | 6 deciduous<br>4 ornamental                              | In compliance   |
| Stormwater (Approx 755 ft.) 6 ft. width + 1.5 deciduous + 1 evergreen + 4 shrubs per 100 ft. = 11 deciduous + 8 evergreen + 30 shrubs | 11 deciduous<br>8 evergreen<br>30 shrubs                 | In compliance   |
| TOTAL<br>17 deciduous<br>8 evergreen<br>4 ornamental<br>30 shrubs   | 17 deciduous<br>8 evergreen<br>4 ornamental<br>30 shrubs | In compliance with requirements, plus the applicant has added numerous evergreen & deciduous trees around the property line to better buffer neighboring properties   |

- a. An irrigation plan must be submitted prior to staff approval of the final site plan.
- 7. **Architectural Design** (*Architectural Design Standards*). The proposed building elevations appear to meet the intent of the Architectural Design Standards and will be reviewed under a separate permit issued by the Building Department.
- 8. **Entranceway Landscaping and Signs.** (Section 138-12.306 and Chapter 134). Entryway landscaping is indicated on the plans and a sign detail is provided. A note has been added to the plans that states that all signs must meet the requirements of Section 138-12.306 and Chapter 134 of the City Code of Ordinances and be approved under separate permits issued by the Building Department.



# ASSESSING DEPARTMENT

Kurt Dawson, Director

From: Nancy McLaughlin

To: Ed Anzek Date: 7/13/16

Re: File No.: 15-004

Project: Nottingham Woods, Final Plan Review #1

Parcel No: 70-15-22-376-039

Applicant: Vanguard Equity Mgmt LLC

No comment.



# FIRE DEPARTMENT

Sean Canto Chief of Fire and Emergency Services

From: James L. Bradford, Lieutenant/Inspector

To: Planning Department
Date: August 11, 2016
Re: Nottingham Woods

SITE PLAN REVIEW

FILE NO: 15-004

**REVIEW NO: 4** 

APPROVED\_\_\_\_X

DISAPPROVED\_\_\_\_\_

Lt. James L. Bradford Fire Inspector



## BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Scott Cope Director

From: Craig McEwen, R.A., Building Inspector/Plan Reviewer

To: S. Roediger, Planning Department

Date: June 28, 2016

Re: Nottingham Woods - Final Plan Review #1

Sidwell: 15-22-376-039

City File: 15-004

The site plan review for the above reference project was based on the following drawings and information submitted:

Sheets: Sheets 01 through 09, LA-01, LA-02, A-01, and A-2

References are based on the Michigan Residential Code 2012. The 2012 Michigan Residential Code went into effect February 8, 2016.

Approval recommended based on submission of individual residence plot plans for code compliant site drainage at the time of building permit application.

- 1. Lots shall be graded to fall away from foundation walls a minimum of 6 inches within the first 10 feet. **Exception:** Where lot lines, walls, slopes or other physical barriers prohibit 6 inches (152 mm) of fall within 10 feet (3048mm), the final grade shall slope away from the foundation at a minimum slope of 5 percent and the water shall be directed to drains or swales to ensure drainage away from the structure. Swales shall be sloped a minimum of 2 percent when located within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the building foundation. Impervious surfaces within 10 feet (3048 mm) of the building foundation shall be sloped a minimum of 2 percent away from the building. Section R-401.3
- 2. Swales in general shall be sloped 1% minimum (see exception to comment #1 above.)
- 3. Lots with rear or front drainage shall have a protection swale 1'-0" minimum below the grade at the house foundation.
- 4. Driveway slopes shall meet the following requirements:
  - a. Approach and driveway: 2% minimum 10% maximum.
  - b. Sidewalk cross-slope (including portion in the driveway approach): 1% minimum, 2% maximum.
- 5. Side-entry garage: 2% minimum, 4% maximum.
- 6. Negative slope driveway: 2% minimum, 7% maximum.

If there are any questions, please call the Building Department at 248-656-4615. Office hours are 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday.



# DPS/Engineering Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director

From: Jason Boughton, AC, Engineering Utilities Coordinator

To: Sara Roediger, Manager of Planning

Date: August 11, 2016

Re: Nottingham Woods, City File #15-004, Section 22

Final Site Plan Review #2

Engineering Services has reviewed the site plan received by the Department of Public Services on August 8, 2016 for the above referenced project. Engineering Services does recommend site plan approval with the following comment:

#### General

1. All easements need to be 20 feet in width, with the utility centered within that easement; revise throughout.

The applicant will need to submit for a Land Improvement Permit (LIP) application with engineer's estimate, fee and construction plans to get the construction plan review process started.

#### JRB/bd

C:

Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director; DPS Paul Davis, P.E., City Engineer/Deputy Director; DPS Tracey Balint, P.E., Public Utilities Engineer; DPS Keith Depp, Staff Engineer; DPS Paul Shumejko, MBA, MS, P.E., PTOE, Transportation Engineer; DPS Sheryl McIsaac, Office Coordinator; DPS Sandi DiSipio; Planning & Development Dept Russ George, Engineering Aide; DPS.





Ken Elwert

To:

Sara Roediger

From:

Gerald Lee

Date:

July 7, 2016

Re:

Nottingham Woods

Final Plan Review #1 File No. 15-004

Forestry review pertains to public right-of-way (r/w) tree issues only.

## Landscape Plan, Sheet LA-01

Street Tree Plant List: The Forestry Division will determine the species of the trees that will be planted. The trees will be 2" caliper B&B. The plant list needs to be deleted. The statement is okay.

The City planted street trees will be planted in the tree lawn between the sidewalk and back of curb. The trees shown in the front yards, near the sidewalk, need to be shown in the tree lawn. The exact location on the tree lawn will be determined by the Forestry Division prior to planting.

Note #11 needs to be corrected to say \$200 per lot, not \$700 per lot.

An additional comment needs to be added under Notes between Notes 5 and 6: "These requirements are incorporated into the plan."

## GL/cf

cc: Sandi DiSipio, Planning Assistant



## Maureen Gentry <gentrym@rochesterhills.org>

# **RE: Nottingham Woods Master Deed**

1 message

John D. Staran <jstaran@hsc-law.com>
To: Maureen Gentry <gentrym@rochesterhills.org>

Fri, Jul 29, 2016 at 12:52 PM

After review, I have no comments or objections.



John D. Staran

2055 Orchard Lake Road

Sylvan Lake, MI 48320

(248) 731-3080 Fax (248) 731-3088

Direct (248) 731-3088

jstaran@hsc-law.com

www.hsc-law.com

Confidentiality Notice: This communication, including any attachments, is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please promptly notify us by return email, permanently delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any printouts.

Treasury Circular 230 Disclosure: This communication is not intended or written to be used, nor may it be used or relied upon, by a taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code, as amended.

From: Maureen Gentry [mailto:gentrym@rochesterhills.org]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 1:26 PM

To: John D. Staran

Subject: Nottingham Woods Master Deed