



Nancy McLaughlin Sara Roediger From:

To: 12/13/2017 Date:

Project: RH House Review #1 Re:

Parcel No: 70-15-29-427-037 File No.: 17-050 Escrow #287.309

Applicant: Designhaus

No comment.



Planning and Economic Development

Sara Roediger, AICP, Director

From:

Kristen Kapelanski, AICP

Date:

2/15/2018

Re:

RH House (City File #17-050)
Site Plan – Planning Review #2

The applicant is proposing to redevelop the site of the former YaYa's Chicken on the west side of Crooks Road between Enterprise Drive and Austin Avenue. The project was reviewed for conformance with the City of Rochester Hills Zoning Ordinance. This item will be reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 138-2.200. The comments below and in other review letters are minor in nature and can be incorporated into a final site plan submittal for review by staff after review by the Planning Commission.

1. **Zoning and Use** (Section 138-4.300). The site is zoned REC-I Regional Employment Center Interchange District which permits sit down restaurants as permitted uses. Refer to the table below for the zoning and existing and future land use designations for the proposed site and surrounding parcels.

| g | Zoning | Zoning Existing Land Use | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Proposed Site | REC-I Regional Employment Center Interchange | Vacant drive-through restaurant | Future Land Use Regional Employment Center |
| North | REC-I Regional Employment Center Interchange | Chet's Rent-All and Precision Lawn Irrigation | Regional Employment Center |
| South | REC-W Regional Employment Center Workplace | ContiTech North American Inc | Regional Employment Center |
| East (across Crooks Rd.) | REC-W Regional Employment Center Workplace | Stant Corporation | Regional Employment Center |
| West | REC-I Regional Employment Center Interchange | Various office | Regional Employment Center |

- a. A liquor license is requires a Conditional Use Permit in the REC-I District. This will be considered by the Planning Commission at the time of site plan review. The Conditional Use Permit will then be forwarded to the City Council for review and approval. The applicant should submit a letter addressing how the proposal will meet the discretionary criteria noted in Section 138-2.302 of the Zoning Ordinance prior to review by the Planning Commission.
- 2. **Site Design and Layout** (Section 138-5.100-101). Refer to the table below as it relates to the area, setback, and building requirements of this project in the REC-I district.

| Requirement | Proposed | Staff Comments |
|---|---------------|----------------|
| Max. Height 80 ft. | 36 ft. | In compliance |
| Min. Front Setback min/max (east - Crooks Rd.) 5 ft./80 ft. | 65 ft. | In compliance |
| Min. Side Setback (north/south) 0 ft. | 47 ft./50 ft. | In compliance |
| Min. Rear Setback (west) 25 ft. | 208 ft. | In compliance |

3. **Exterior Lighting** (Section 138-10.200-204). A photometric plan showing the location and intensity of exterior lighting has been provided. Refer to the table below as it relates to the lighting requirements for this project.

| Requirement | Proposed | Staff Comments |
|---|---------------------|----------------|
| Shielding/Glare Lighting shall be fully shielded & directed downward at a 90° angle | Cut sheets provided | In compliance |

| ! Requirement | Proposed | Staff Comments |
|--|--|---|
| Fixtures shall incorporate full cutoff housings, louvers, glare shields, optics, reflectors or other measures to prevent off-site glare & minimize light pollution | | |
| Only flat lenses are permitted on light fixtures; sag or protruding lenses are prohibited | | |
| Max. Intensity (measured in footcandles fc.) 10 fc. anywhere on-site, 1 fc. at ROW, & 0.5 fc. at any other property line | Max. 2.0 fc. at south property line | Adjust lighting at south property line to below 1 fc. |
| Lamps Max. wattage of 250 watts per fixture LED or low pressure sodium for low traffic areas, LED, high pressure sodium or metal halide for parking lots | Max. wattage 71 LED fixtures proposed | In compliance |
| Max. Height 20 ft., 15 ft. when within 50 ft. of residential | 20 ft. | In compliance |

4. **Parking, Loading and Access** (138-11.100-308). Refer to the table below as it relates to the parking and loading requirements of this project.

| Requirement | Proposed | Staff Comments |
|--|---|----------------|
| Min. # Parking Spaces Restaurant – 1 per 2 persons permitted at max occupancy = 58 spaces | 58 spaces | In compliance |
| Max. # Parking Spaces 125% of Min. = 73 spaces | | |
| Min. Barrier Free Spaces 1 BF spaces + 4% total parking for 51-75 spaces = 4 BF spaces 11 ft. in width w/ 5 ft. aisle | 4 BF spaces | In compliance |
| Min. Parking Space Dimensions 9 ft. x 18 ft. (employee spaces) 10 ft. x 18 ft. (customer spaces) 8.5 ft. x 22 ft. (parallel) 90° - 24 ft. aisle (2-way)/15 ft. (1-way) | Spaces dimensioned appropriately | In compliance |
| Angled – 12-15 ft. aisle (1-way) | Paved area not | |
| Min. Parking Setback 10 ft. on all sides | proposed to increase beyond current footprint | In compliance |

5. Natural Features

- a. **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** (Section 138-2.204.G) An EIS that meets ordinance requirements has been submitted.
- b. **Tree Removal** (Section 126 Natural Resources, Article III Tree Conservation). The site is subject to the city's tree conservation ordinance, and so any healthy tree greater than 6" in caliper that will be removed must be replaced with one tree credit. Trees that are dead or in poor condition need not be replaced. The removal of any regulated tree requires the approval of a tree removal permit and associated tree replacement credits, in the form of additional plantings as regulated in the Tree Conservation Ordinance or a payment of \$216.75 per credit into the City's tree fund. A tree preservation plan has been provided. 10 trees have been identified on site, 4 of which are larger than 6" in caliper, which will all be saved.
- c. **Wetlands** (Section 126 Natural Resources, Article IV Wetland and Watercourse Protection). The site does not contain any regulated wetlands.
- d. Natural Features Setback (Section 138-9 Chapter 1). The site does not contain any natural feature setbacks.
- e. **Steep Slopes** (Section 138-9 Chapter 2). The site does not contain any regulated steep slopes.
- 6. **Equipment Screening** (Section 138-10.310.J). All heating, ventilation and air conditioning mechanical equipment located on the exterior of the building shall be screened from adjacent streets and properties.

- Dumpster Enclosure (Section 138-10.311). Existing dumpsters are indicated at the southwest corner of the site. The
 applicant has confirmed the existing screening is in good repair and will be painted to match the proposed building
 elevations.
- 8. **Landscaping** (Section 138-12.100-308). A landscape plan signed and sealed by a registered landscape architect has been provided. Refer to the table below as it relates to the landscape requirements for this project as proposed.

| Requirement | Proposed | Staff Comments |
|---|---|----------------|
| Right of Way (Crooks Rd.) 1 deciduous per 35 ft. + 1 ornamental per 60 ft. = 4 deciduous + 2 ornamental | 4 deciduous 4 ornamental (1 existing) | In compliance |
| Buffer D (north, south, west) 25 ft. + 2.5 deciduous per 100 ft. + 1.5 ornamental per 100 ft. + 5 evergreen per 100 ft. + 8 shrubs per 100 ft. | Existing paved area boundaries to remain. Buffer is not currently provided and not required given the limited changes proposed on site. | |
| Parking Lot: Interior 5% of parking lot + 1 deciduous per 150 sq. ft. landscape area = 1,394 sq. ft. + 9 deciduous | 2,886 sq. ft. | In compliance |

- a. A landscape planting schedule has been provided including the size of all proposed landscaping. A unit cost estimate and total landscaping cost summary has been provided with irrigation costs provided on the Irrigation Plan.
- b. If required trees cannot fit or planted due to infrastructure conflicts, a payment in lieu of may be made to the City's tree fund at a rate of \$216.75 per tree. Existing healthy vegetation on the site may be used to satisfy the landscape requirements and must be identified on the plans.
- c. All landscape areas must be irrigated. This has been noted on the landscape plan, and an irrigation plan has been provided. A note specifying that watering will only occur between the hours of 12am and 5am has been included on the plans.
- d. Site maintenance notes listed in Section 138-12.109 have been included on the plans.
- e. A note stating "Prior to the release of the performance bond, the City of Rochester Hills must inspect all landscape plantings." has been included on the plans.
- 9. **Architectural Design** (Architectural Design Standards). The proposed building appears to be generally designed in accordance with the City's Architectural Design Standards. Elevations indicate a stone and EIFS façade with accent metal panels. The applicant is encouraged to provide material samples for the Planning Commission meeting.
- 10. **Signs.** (Section 138-8.603). A note has been included on the plans that states that all signs must meet Section 138-8.603 and *Chapter 134* of the City Code of Ordinances and be approved under a separate permit issued by the Building Department.



FIRE DEPARTMENT

Sean Canto

Chief of Fire and Emergency Services

From: William A. Cooke, Assistant Chief / Fire Marshal

To: Planning Department Date: February 16, 2018

Re: RH House

SITE PLAN REVIEW

FILE NO: 17-050 REVIEW NO: 2

APPROVED X DISAPPROVED _____

William A. Cooke Assistant Chief / Fire Marshal



DPS/Engineering Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director

From:

Michael Taunt, Survey Technician

To:

Kristen Kapelanski, AICP, Planning Manager

Date:

February 8, 2018

Re:

RH House, City File #17-050, Section 29 SE 1/4

Revised Site Plan Review #1

The applicant has addressed all issues from my previous review. I recommend approval.

MLT/bd

c:

Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director: DPS
Paul Davis, P.E., City Engineer/Deputy Director; DPS
Tracey Balint, P.E., Public Utilities Engineering Mgr.; DPS
Nick Costanzo, Engineering Aide; DPS

File

Paul Shumejko, P.E., PTOE, Transportation Engineering Mgr.; DPS Sandi DiSipio; Planning & Development Dept.
Jason Boughton, AC, Engineering Utilities Coordidnator; DPS Keith Depp; Staff Engineer; DPS



DPS/Engineering

Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director

From:

Jason Boughton, AC, Engineering Utilities Coordinator

To:

Kristen Kapelanski, AICP, Planning Manager

Date:

February 7, 2018

Re:

RH House, City File #17-050, Section 29

Site Plan Review #2

Engineering Services has reviewed the site plan received by the Department of Public Services on February 1, 2018 for the above referenced project. Engineering Services does recommend site plan approval with no comments needing to be addressed.

The applicant does not need to submit a Land Improvement Permit (LIP) application with engineer's estimate, fee and construction plans to get the construction plan review process started.

JB/bd

Allan E. Schneck, P.E., Director; DPS Tracey Balint, P.E., Public Utilities Engineering Mgr.; DPS Nick Costanzo, Engineering Aide; DPS Keith Depp, Project Engineer; DPS

Paul Davis, P.E. City Engineer/Deputy Director; DPS Paul Shumejko, P.E., PTOE, Transportation Engineering Mgr.; DPS



BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Scott Cope

From:

Craig McEwen, Building Inspector/Plan Reviewer ビかい

To:

Kristen Kapelanski, Planning Department

Date:

February 5, 2018

Re:

RH House – Review #2

Cri

Crooks Rd.

Sidwell:

15-29-427-037

City File:

17-050

The Building Department has reviewed the site plan approval documents received February 2, 2018 for the above referenced project. Our review was based on the Zoning Ordinance, the 2015 Michigan Building Code and ICC A117.1 -2009, unless otherwise noted. Approval recommended base on the following being addressed on the next submittal or on the building permit documents:

1. When providing photometrics for site lighting please also provide evidence of compliance with the Michigan Energy Code for lighting power. Controls for exterior lighting complying with ASHRAE 90.1-213, Section 9.4.1.4 will be reviewed with during the building permit review process.

If there are any questions, please call the Building Department at 248-656-4615. Office hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday.



PARKS & NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

Ken Elwert, CPRE, Director

To: Kristen Kapelanski

From: Gerry Pink

Date: February 1, 2018

Re: RH House Review #2 File #17-050

Please show 15' corner clearance triangles where the driveway intersects with the west side of the public walkway.

Please provide the following statements on the plan:

Prior approval is required to plant any tree or shrub on the public right-of-way. All trees and shrubs must be planted at least 10' from the edge of the public road. (Trees must be planted at least 15' away from curb or road edge where the speed limit is more than 35 mph.) Shade trees and shrubs must be planted at least 5' from the edge of the public walkway. Evergreen and ornamental trees must be planted at least 10' from the edge of the public walkway. No trees or shrubs may be planted within the triangular area formed at the intersection of any street right-of-way lines at a distance along each line of 25' from their point of intersection. No trees or shrubs may be planted in the triangular area formed at the intersection of any driveway with a public walkway at a distance along each line of 15' from their point of intersection. All trees and shrubs must be planted at least 10' from any fire hydrant. Shade and evergreen trees must be at least 15' away from the nearest overhead wire. Trees must be planted a minimum of 5' from an underground utility, unless the city's Landscape Architect requires a greater distance.

Prior to the release of the performance bond, the City of Rochester Hills Forestry Division needs to inspect all trees, existing or planted, to identify any that pose a hazard to the safe use of the public right-of-way. Forestry may require the developer to remove, and possibly replace, any such trees.

The above requirements are incorporated into the plan.

GP/cf

cc Sandi DiSipio, Planning Assistant Maureen Gentry, Planning Assistant