

The Committee did that and the revised proposed District is the result.

Mr. Delacourt noted although it would take more time, he thought following the process and holding another Public Hearing would be the best way to proceed. Chairperson Thompson agreed it would be the appropriate thing to do because the Committee was making a change.

Chairperson Thompson summarized the proposed District Map would be re-drawn and the Final Report amended to reflect the new proposed District.

This matter was Discussed

7B. 2006-0425

Frank Farm (HDSC File #04-005)

- 1290 E. Auburn; 1304 E. Auburn; 1344 and 1356 E. Auburn
- Resolution of Final Report

Chairperson Thompson reminded the Committee that the Frank Farm Designation was referred back to the Committee from City Council. He summarized there was the issue of the consent of the homeowner, and asked if the Committee recalled any further instructions from Council.

Dr. Stamps recalled that the homeowner was not present at the City Council meeting, although relatives of the homeowner were present. In fact, one of the relatives stated at the meeting that the homeowner would like to have the property designated, but she, as a granddaughter, did not want the property to be designated as she would be taking care of the property in the future.

Mr. Dziurman thought City Council was leaning more toward the archeological issues rather than the building issues. He agreed the fact that the property owner was not present was an issue, but he recalled Council thought there was reason to go forward with the archeological aspect.

Chairperson Thompson recalled one Council member discussing artifacts found at that site. He was not sure if the Final Report included the archeological criteria as part of the recommendation for designation.

Dr. Stamps stated the Report indicated archeological remains had been documented from the site, but that it would require further investigation. He noted the Committee had not had an archeologist look at the property.

Chairperson Thompson asked if an archeologist would be interested in reviewing the property. The Committee questioned whether any funds could be allocated for that work.

Mr. Dziurman thought if the budget allowed, the Committee should hire an archeologist to conduct an exploratory survey. He did not think that had ever been done, although it appeared good information was available that those things existed on the site. He thought a professional survey should be done, which he did not think was extremely expensive.

Chairperson Thompson inquired how the Committee could receive funds for this project. Mr. Delacourt stated he would have to request the funding from City Council. He explained a new budget item would have to be established and an RFP prepared and sent out for a consultant, similar to the process followed for the preservation consultant. He stated the City could enter into a contract with a consultant for either a specific project or for continuing services.

Mr. Delacourt stated the criteria would have to be defined so the RFP could be prepared for the project. Chairperson Thompson asked if a motion requesting the funds would be appropriate. Mr. Delacourt indicated that was correct.

Mr. Dziurman suggested estimates would be helpful so the Committee could determine what the cost of this project would be. He thought the Committee could identify other areas where a survey would be helpful, such as the Stoney Creek Village.

Mr. Delacourt stated that the RFP could be for a continuing services contract if the Committee could identify other potential uses, rather than being established for just one project. He explained the process followed by City Council for establishing a contract, noting the yearly renewal would have to be reapproved as part of the budget.

Mr. Delacourt asked if there was any potential of students conducting the survey work as part of a class project. Dr. Stamps stated a class would be offered and the students would be digging at the Wisner Mansion in Pontiac. He noted a class was currently working in Waterford on a grant.

Mr. Dziurman asked if that work was overseen by someone who was certified. Dr. Stamps stated it was overseen. Mr. Delacourt thought checking on the availability of students from the local universities would be an important part of the request for funding. Dr. Stamps stated there were local universities available that had the resources.

Chairperson Thompson asked if the Committee was prepared to make a motion requesting the funding. Mr. Dziurman asked if Five Thousand Dollars would be sufficient. Dr. Stamps thought the project could be done for less than that, although the number of projects would determine the cost. He mentioned Kent Taylor, from

Troy, Michigan, who did the archeological project for the parking lot underneath the South Bridge in Rochester. He noted that particular project required the use of a backhoe and digging a 12-foot deep trench, which was an involved project and added to the cost. He mentioned Bruce Hawkins was also available for these types of projects.

Mr. Delacourt commented it was hard to put a dollar figure to a project as it appeared there was a wide range of associated costs, and noted the Committee did not know how many projects they might have per year. He stated he would need some reliable estimates for an average size project.

Dr. Stamps stated these projects ran in phases, and explained Phase I was to check published literature, conduct an on-the-ground survey and interview locals. If nothing was found, the survey was finished and a recommendation made. If something was found, such as the items that were found on the Frank Farm, the survey would continue to a Phase II. Phase II delimited the size of the site. He stated if artifacts were discovered, their origin would have to be determined, and additional test pits would be done to define the map and identify the location.

Mr. Dziurman stated he had an RFP for a Phase I survey.

Chairperson Thompson summarized the Committee would assemble additional information and contact information and discuss budget numbers at the next meeting.

Mr. Delacourt clarified the Frank Farm Report would be held pending the Committee's decision on the archeological survey project. Chairperson Thompson agreed, noting the matter would be scheduled for the next meeting.

This matter was Discussed

7C.

2008-0663

National Twist Drill

- Discussion regarding Preliminary Report Process

Chairperson Thompson stated that the National Twist Drill Report had been held pending the results of the Committee's meetings with the Planning Commission and the City's Economic Development Manager, both of which had been held.

Mr. Delacourt summarized the Committee had the Preliminary Report, which had not been forwarded to the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and the Public Hearing had not been held.

Mr. Dziurman explained the Committee had been trying to develop a strategy for the potential designation of this site. He commented that based on the recent feedback from SHPO, he thought the Committee could consider recommending only a portion